physician's guidance. Investigation, however, disclosed that the drug was frequently dispensed without a physician's prescription. It would be dangerous to health when used in the dosage, or with the frequency or duration prescribed.

recommended, or suggested in the labeling.

On January 25 and 30, 1939, the United States attorneys for the District of Utah and the Eastern District of Washington filed libels against 10 bottles each containing 50 Renton's Hydrocin Tablets at Ogden, Utah, and 16 bottles containing a total of 1,700 tablets of the same product at Spokane, Wash., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce by Pasadena Products, Inc., from Pasadena, Calif., within the period from on or about August 31, 1938, to on or about January 3, 1939; and charging that it was misbranded for the reasons appearing above.

On March 13 and 24, 1939, no claimant having appeared, judgments of

condemnation were entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

## 145. Misbranding of Neuroine. U. S. v. 11 Bottles of Neuroine. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 1677. Sample No. 37513-D.)

This product contained sodium bromide and alcohol, and would be dangerous to health when used in the dosage or with the frequency or duration prescribed, recommended, or suggested in the labeling. It contained more sodium bromide

and less alcohol than the amounts declared.

On March 22, 1940, the United States attorney for the Western District of Missouri filed a libel against 11 bottles of Neuroine at Kansas City, Mo., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about January 30, 1940, by the Link Chemical Co. from Emporia, Kans.; and charging that it was misbranded.

It was alleged to be misbranded in that the representations in the labeling that it contained 60 grains of sodium bromide and 25 percent of alcohol, were false and misleading since the bottle (1 pint) contained very materially more than 60 grains of sodium bromide and materially less than 25 percent of alcohol. It was alleged to be misbranded further in that it was dangerous to health when used in the dosage or with the frequency or duration prescribed, recommended, or suggested in the labeling, which directed a dosage for adults of a tablespoonful to an ounce, as necessary to control case, with proportionate dosage for children.

On June 22, 1940, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation

was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

## 146. Adulteration and misbranding of Migro Headache Powder. U. S. v. 13 Boxes of Migro Headache Powder. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 1745. Sample No. 88912–D.)

These powders consisted essentially of acetanilid, sodium bicarbonate, tartaric acid, and milk sugar. They would be dangerous to health when used in the dosage or with the frequency or duration prescribed in the labeling, which failed to reveal the consequences which might result from their use. The labeling was further objectionable, as indicated below.

On April 15, 1940, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Indiana filed a libel against 13 boxes of Migro Headache Powder at South Bend, Ind., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about February 6, 1940, by C. J. Czarnecki from Detroit, Mich.; and

charging that it was adulterated and misbranded.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that its strength differed from that which it purported or was represented to possess, namely, 5 grains of acetanilid per powder since it contained materially more than 5 grains of acetanilid per powder.

Misbranding was alleged in that the representations on the label that each powder contained 5 grains of acetanilid was false and misleading since it

contained materially more than 5 grains of acetanilid per powder.

It was alleged to be misbranded further in that its labeling bore representations that it was a headache powder, was intended for the relief of simple headache, and bore directions that one powder be taken and repeated in 1 hour if not relieved, which were false and misleading since the impression was created thereby that the article constituted an appropriate treatment for conditions such as those described in the labeling; whereas it was not a safe and appropriate remedy but was a dangerous drug and the labeling failed to reveal the fact, which was material in the light of the representations made on the label, that the use of the article in accordance with the directions