

**198. Misbranding of heat packs. U. S. v. 20 Packages of Wonder Heat Packs. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 1705. Sample No. 14302-E.)**

This product consisted essentially of a bag containing chemicals which would produce heat when moistened with water. Its labeling bore false and misleading representations regarding its efficacy in the conditions indicated below.

On March 25, 1940, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania filed a libel against 20 Wonder Heat Packs at Philadelphia, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about January 20 and February 3, 1940, from Chicago, Ill., by the Wonder Heat-Pack Co.; and charging that it was misbranded.

It was alleged to be misbranded in that the representations in the labeling that it was efficacious in the treatment of colds, colic, cramps, sprains, lumbago, neuritis, pleurisy, neuralgia, bronchitis, pneumonia, infections, toothache, rheumatism, inflammation, muscle soreness, and poor circulation, were false and misleading since it was not efficacious for the purposes recommended.

On April 18, 1940, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

**ELECTRIC VIBRATORS**

**199. Misbranding of vibrators. U. S. v. 7 Beautysage Vibrators. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 1521. Sample No. 61887-D.)**

This device consisted of an electric vibrator fitted with three differently shaped rubber appliances. Its labeling bore false and misleading representations regarding its efficacy in the conditions indicated below.

On February 26, 1940, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana filed a libel against seven vibrators at New Orleans, La., alleging the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about January 18, 1940, by the Beauty Appliance Corporation from Racine, Wis.; and charging that it was misbranded.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that its labeling bore representations that it would assist nature in stimulating the minute blood vessels, help to force blood through the tissues, and instill new life into the nerves; that the exercise and stimulation of the device would maintain the firm contours of face and figure with its gentle, massaging action; that it would check falling of dry and brittle hair and stimulate new growth; that the tingling action of the prong applicator would excite and energize the tiny cells, increase nutrition to roots of the hair and restore vigor and strength; that it would be efficacious in the treatment of aches, pains, neuralgia, earache, lumbago, fatigue, sprains, stiffness, and other ailments; that impaired circulation of blood and lymph affects the muscular and nervous system and causes many of our common body ills; that the daily use of the device would hasten the flow of blood, assist in building up a run-down condition and would carry away waste, restore new life and vigor; that the said device would heal; that it was an aid for almost every imaginable ailment, would keep the body in good working order, and would restore youthful contours to face and figure; that it was an indispensable aid; and would relieve tired nerves and muscles; would restore vigor and vitality to any part of the body; and that it would increase circulation, would eradicate dandruff, would help to build new tissue and would relieve tired muscles, fatigue, kinks in the back, and other ailments usually caused by poor circulation, or cramped position of nerves, which representations were false and misleading since the said device was not efficacious for the purposes recommended.

On September 16, 1940, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the device was ordered destroyed.

**200. Misbranding of vibrators. U. S. v. 11 Vibrators. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 1752. Sample No. 1804.)**

This article was an electric vibrator fitted with several attachments. Its labeling bore false and misleading representations regarding its efficacy in the conditions indicated below.

On April 4, 1940, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia filed a libel against 11 vibrators at Washington, D. C., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about October 12, 1939, by the Bersted Manufacturing Co. from Fostoria, Ohio; and charging that it was misbranded. The article was labeled in part: "Bersted's Eskimo \* \* \* Vibrator."