

**213. Misbranding of Sananize. U. S. v. Two 5-Gallon Cans and Sixteen 2-Gallon Cans of Sananize. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 1301. Sample No. 79708-D.)**

The labeling of this product bore false and misleading representations regarding its efficacy in the conditions indicated below.

On or about January 19, 1940, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois filed a libel against two 5-gallon cans and sixteen 2-gallon cans of Sananize at Freeport, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about August 19, 1939, by the Farm Sananize Manufacturing Co. from Sibley, Iowa; and charging that it was misbranded.

Analysis of samples showed that the product consisted essentially of coal-tar naphtha and mineral oil, with small amounts of phenolic bodies and formaldehyde. No lime-sulfur was present and no iodine was detected. The product was not miscible in water.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that its labeling bore representations that it would immunize poultry, hog and farm buildings from diseases, that chickens would inhale the odor which would help to keep them in good condition; that it would prevent hog flu, necro, cholera, leucemia, roup, tuberculosis, etc.; that for hog flu, coughs and colds the hogs should be put in a small space and sprayed lightly to help keep germs out of herd; that hogs should be sprayed thoroughly for mange and scurf; that by sanatizing before the herd becomes ailing 75 to 90 percent of losses could be avoided; that hog flu pneumonia could be stopped in two or three evenings, mange and scurf cleaned from hogs in 2 weeks, that necro germs and any germs would be wiped out by Sananize; that it would keep the nostrils of poultry open; that croup, bronchitis, diphtheria and any ailments caused by colds can be stopped and prevented with Sananize in 2 to 4 days; that it would prevent sleeping sickness and other horse diseases; that it was commonly known or believed that prussic acid poison starts sleeping sickness which is then carried from a sick animal to others by flies, which representations were false and misleading, since the article would not be efficacious for the purposes recommended.

The article also was alleged to be misbranded under the Insecticide Act of 1910, as reported in notices of judgment published under that act.

On June 3, 1940, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the product be destroyed.

**MISCELLANEOUS DRUGS AND DEVICES**

**214. Misbranding of Diaplex. U. S. v. 32 Cartons, 29 Cartons, and 94 Packages of "Diaplex A Variety of Saltbush." Decrees of condemnation. Portion of product ordered destroyed. Remainder ordered released under bond for relabeling. (F. D. C. Nos. 1552, 1626, 1679. Sample Nos. 2649-D, 73520-D, 6322-E.)**

The labeling of this product bore false and misleading representations regarding its efficacy in the treatment of the conditions indicated below.

On March 5, 14, and 22, 1940, the United States attorneys for the Northern District of California, the Western District of Washington, and the District of Idaho filed libels against 32 cartons of Diaplex at San Francisco, Calif., 29 cartons of the product at Seattle, Wash., and 94 packages at Boise, Idaho, alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce within the period from on or about October 23, 1939, to on or about March 7, 1940, by the Diaplex Laboratories from Denver, Colo.; and charging that it was misbranded.

Analysis showed that the article consisted essentially of plant material including stem and leaf tissues.

Misbranding was alleged in that the labeling in all shipments bore directions that 2 or 3 heaping tablespoonfuls of the product be used in each pint of water, that it be brought to a boil or percolate for 10 minutes, and that it should be served hot; and representations that it was efficacious in diabetes, that the diabetic patient should drink at least 2 quarts daily for from 3 to 9 months, that such use should produce amazing results as indicated by daily urine tests; that persons using the product should make urine tests daily and that as the pancreas increased its normal function the amount of insulin should be reduced to avoid insulin reaction; that only enough insulin should be used to take care of the surplus sugar and that eventually insulin could be eliminated entirely; that the article should be used until the patient was well and strong; that persons who have never used insulin or whose blood sugar does not test over 140 mg. per 100 cc. of blood or not in coma would find it unnecessary to do so and that the only thing required was to adhere to a good diabetic diet and drink 2 quarts daily of the product to produce the grand activity of good