that the article had been shipped on or about September 12, 1944, from Newburgh, N. Y., by Louis Sampanis.

Examination showed that the article consisted essentially of water with extracts of plant materials and traces of iron and ammonium compounds, and that it was contaminated with mold.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a decomposed substance. It was alleged to be misbranded in that certain statements on the bottle labels and on the labels and circulars which were shipped with the article were false and misleading since they represented and suggested that the article would be effective in the treatment of anemia, diabetes, eruptions of the skin, high or low blood pressure, fistula of the arteries, varicose veins, eczema, pimples, ulcers of the stomach, hemorrhoids, rheumatism, neurasthenia, unhealthy blood, menstrual disorders, underdeveloped, high-strung, or weak children, poor circulation, chronic venereal diseases, syphilis, and gonorrhea. The article contained no ingredients or combination of ingredients which would be effective in the treatment of the disease conditions mentioned.

On November 29, 1944, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

#### 1455. Adulteration of mouse ear herb. U. S. v. 1 Metal Container of Mouse Ear Herb. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 13668. Sample No. 86850-F.)

On September 20, 1944, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Indiana filed a libel against 1 metal container containing about 24 pounds of mouse ear herb at Hammond, Ind., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about August 8, 1944, by J. L. Hopkins & Co., New York, N. Y.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of rodent hair fragments and insect fragments.

On November 13, 1944, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

#### 1456. Adulteration of rhubarb root. U. S. v. 1 Barrel of Rhubarb Root. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 13713. Sample No. 90391-F.)

On September 20, 1944, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Missouri filed a libel against 1 barrel of rhubarb root at St. Louis, Mo., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about August 4, 1944, by J. L. Hopkins & Co., from New York, N. Y.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of insect fragments and rodent hair fragments.

On November 27, 1944, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

### 1457. Adulteration of corn silk. U. S. v. 1,418 Pounds of Corn Silk. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 13818. Sample No. 85025-F.)

On September 23, 1944, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania filed a libel against 1,418 pounds of corn silk at Philadelphia, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about August 17, 1944, from New York, N. Y., by J. L. Hopkins and Co.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy substance by reason of the presence of whole insects, beetle eggs, insect fragments, mites, thrips, and insect excreta pellets.

On November 22, 1944, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

#### DRUGS AND DEVICES ACTIONABLE BECAUSE OF DEVIATION FROM OFFICIAL OR OWN STANDARDS

### 1458. Adulteration and misbranding of Broncotol and tincture of nux vomica. U. S. v. Standard Pharmaceutical Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$1,000 and costs. (F. D. C. No. 14296. Sample Nos. 35938-F, 35941-F.)

On February 23, 1945, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland filed an information against the Standard Pharmaceutical Corporation, Baltimore, Md., alleging shipment of quantities of Broncotol and tincture of nux vomica from the State of Maryland into the State of Georgia on or about January 14 and February 3, 1944.

The Broncotol was alleged to be adulterated in that its strength differed from that which it purported and was represented to possess, since it was represented on its label as containing ½ grain of codeine phosphate per fluid ounce, whereas it contained 0.651 grain of codeine phosphate per fluid ounce. It was alleged to be misbranded in that the label statement, "Each fluid ounce contains Codeine

Phosphate ½ grain," was false and misleading.

The tincture of nux vomica was alleged to be adulterated in that it purported to be and was represented as a drug the name of which is recognized in the United States Pharmacopoeia, an official compendium, but its strength differed from the official standard since the Pharmacopoeia provides that "Tincture of Nux Vomica yields from each 100 cc., \* \* \* not more than 0.125 Gm. of strychnine," whereas the article yielded from each 100 cc. not less than 0.135 gram of strychnine; and its difference in strength from the standard was not plainly stated, or stated at all, on its label. The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the label statement, "Tincture Nux Vomica \* \* \* U. S. P. \* \* Each 100 cc. contains not \* \* \* more than 0.125 Gm. of Strychnine," was false and misleading.

On March 16, 1945, a plea of guilty having been entered on behalf of the defendant, the court imposed a fine of \$250 on each of 4 counts of the information, a

total fine of \$1,000, plus costs.

# 1459. Adulteration and misbranding of thiamine chloride tablets. U. S. v. William S. McClymonds (Western Research Laboratories). Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$50. (F. D. C. No. 14231. Sample Nos. 6555-F, 36500-F.)

On January 22, 1945, the United States attorney for the District of Colorado filed an information against William S. McClymonds, trading as the Western Research Laboratories, Denver, Colo., alleging shipment of a quantity of thiamine chloride tablets on or about August 28 and November 20, 1943, from the State of

Colorado into the States of Wyoming and Utah.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it purported to be and was represented as "Thiamine-Chloride Tablets," a drug the name of which is recognized in the United States Pharmacopoeia, an official compendium, but its strength differed from and its quality fell below the official standard since the Pharmacopoeia requires that thiamine chloride tablets shall contain not less than 95 percent of the labeled amount of thiamine chloride, whereas the article contained, in the case of one lot, not more than 60 percent and, in the case of the remaining lot, not more than 73 percent of the labeled amount of thiamine chloride. The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statements on its labels, "Tablets Thiamin Chloride 5 mgm. [or "10 mgm."]," were false and misleading since the article contained smaller amounts of thiamine chloride than was represented."

The information also alleged that two other products, pyridamide tablets and thiamine chloride solution, were adulterated and misbranded under the provisions of the law applicable to foods, as reported in notices of judgment on foods,

No. 8086.

On February 3, 1945, the defendant having entered a plea of nolo contendere, the court imposed a fine of \$5 on each of the 10 counts of the information.

## 1460. Adulteration and misbranding of Brewer Vitamin Concentrate Capsules. U. S. v. 97 Boxes and 104 Boxes of Vitamin Capsules. Decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 6092. Sample No. 75735–E.)

On October 27, 1941, the United States attorney for the District of Maine filed a libel against 97 boxes, each containing 100 capsules, and 104 boxes, each containing 50 capsules, of vitamins at Waterville, Maine, alleging that the article had been shipped on or about April 16, 1941, by Brewer & Co., Inc., from Worcester, Mass. The article was labeled in part: "Brewer Vitamin Concentrate Capsules Containing Vitamins A-B-D-G."

A vitamin assay of a sample showed that the article contained not more

than 700 U.S. P. units of vitamin D per capsule.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that its strength differed from and its quality fell below that which it was represented to possess: "Vitamin D 1,000 units U. S. P. XI."

The article was alleged to be misbranded (1) in that the statement on its label, "Vitamin D 1,000 units U. S. P. XI," was false; and (2) in that the conspicuous declaration on the main display panel, "Containing vitamins \* \* \* G," was misleading in view of the fact that the article, when taken according to the directions, "Average daily Dose 1 to 3 capsules," would furnish not more than 8 percent of the minimum daily requirement for vitamin G.