distributor; and, Section 502 (b) (2), the containers of the articles bore no labels containing a statement of the quantity of the contents.

Further misbranding, Section 502 (a), the statement "Recommended for sinus" on the label of the light brown colored liquid was false and misleading since the article would not be efficacious in the cure, mitigation, and treatment of sinus diseases; Section 502 (e) (2), the ointment was not designated solely by a name recognized in an official compendium and was fabricated from two or more ingredients, and its label failed to bear the common or usual name of each active ingredient; and, Section 502 (f) (1), the labeling of the ointment bore no directions for use, and the labeling of the plant material and syrupy liquid failed to bear adequate directions for use since the labeling failed to reveal the conditions for which the articles were to be used.

DISPOSITION: January 24, 1949. A plea of guilty having been entered, the court imposed a fine of \$100.

2753. Misbranding of serenium tablets. U. S. v. 7 Bottles * * * (F. D. C. No. 25870. Sample No. 8347–K.)

LIBEL FILED: October 21, 1948, District of New Jersey.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about May 24, 1948, by E. R. Squibb & Sons, from Brooklyn, N. Y.

PRODUCT: 7 bottles of serenium tablets at Newark, N. J.

LABEL, IN PART: "50 Tablets List 7974 Chocolate Coated Serenium."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (f) (1), the labeling failed to bear adequate directions for use since the directions for use which appeared on the bottle label "For oral treatment of certain urinary infections. Dose: Adults, 1 tablet by mouth 3 times daily before meals; children over 3 years, ½ tablet twice daily; children under 3 years, ¼ tablet twice daily" were not adequate directions for use in the treatment of urinary infections.

DISPOSITION: May 23, 1949. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

2754. Misbranding of succinol tablets. U. S. v. 15 Bottles * * * (F. D. C. No. 27235. Sample No. 12373-K.)

LIBEL FILED: May 20, 1949, Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about April 27, 1949, by the Succinol Co., from New York, N. Y.

PRODUCT: 15 100-tablet bottles of succinol tablets at Philadelphia, Pa.

LABEL, IN PART: "Succinol Each tablet contains 0.2 Gm. Phenylsemicarbazide * * * To be used only by or on the prescription of a physician * * * The Succinol Company, New York 7, N. Y."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (f) (2), the labeling of the article failed to bear such adequate warnings against unsafe dosage and methods and duration of administration, in such manner and form, as are necessary for the protection of users since the article contained phenylsemicarbazide and its labeling failed to warn that phenylsemicarbazide is capable of producing serious hemolytic anemia.

Disposition: June 21, 1949. The Succinol Co., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond for relabeling.