- ported to be a prophylactic, but it was ineffective for prophylaxis because of the presence of holes.
- DISPOSITION: October 4, 1950. Pleas of guilty having been entered, the court fined the corporation \$1,000 and the individual defendant \$200, plus costs.
- 3233. Adulteration of prophylactics. U. S. v. John M. Adams (Klingfast Sales Co.). Plea of nolo contendere. Fine of \$300 or imprisonment for 1 year. (F. D. C. No. 29436. Sample Nos. 52359-K, 52364-K.)
- INFORMATION FILED: On July 6, 1950, Northern District of Georgia, against John M. Adams, trading as the Klingfast Sales Co., Atlanta, Ga.
- ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about November 16 and December 7, 1949, from the State of Georgia into the State of Tennessee.
- LABEL, IN PART: "Klintab Caps * * * A Cap Type Rubber Glans Sheath * * * Manufacturer Klingfast Rubber Co. Akron, Ohio."
- NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 501 (c), the quality of the article fell below that which it purported and was represented to possess. The article purported and was represented to be a prophylactic, whereas it was not a prophylactic, but was ineffective for prophylaxis because of the presence of holes.
- DISPOSITION: August 28, 1950. A plea of nolo contendere having been entered, the court sentenced the defendant to pay a fine of \$300 or to serve 1 year in jail.

DRUGS AND DEVICES ACTIONABLE BECAUSE OF FALSE AND MISLEADING CLAIMS

DRUGS FOR HUMAN USE*

- 3234. Misbranding of Raysol. U. S. v. 9 Bottles * * *. (F. D. C. No. 27783. Sample No. 47595–K.)
- LIBEL FILED: August 22, 1949, District of Columbia.
- ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about May 16, 1949, from Kitchener, Ontario, Canada, to Prince Frederick, Md., and from the latter point into the District of Columbia.
- PRODUCT: 9 6-ounce bottles of Raysol at Washington, D. C. Examination showed that the product consisted of a water solution of calcium chloride, magnesium chloride, sodium chloride, and small amounts of other mineral salts, including potassium, iron, and iodine compounds.
- LABEL, IN PART: "Raysol * * * The Raysol Co. P. O. Box 4335 Washington, D. C."
- NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements in an accompanying circular entitled "Raysol * * * The Raysol Company P. O. Box 4335 Washington, D. C." were false and misleading. The statements represented and suggested that the article was effective in the treatment of diabetes, anemia, angina pectoris, circulatory disorders, stomach ailments, kidney and bladder trouble, high blood pressure, arthritic and rheumatic pains, stomach ulcers, and ill health associated with a depletion of the minerals in the blood; and that the article would be effective for revitalizing the blood and for serving as a "Great, God-given Remedy." The article was not effec-

^{*}See also Nos. 3221, 3222, 3225-3227, 3231.