

the goods seized "on the ground that this court has not acquired jurisdiction over the res." After consideration of the arguments and briefs of counsel, the court, on June 17, 1952, ordered that this motion be dismissed.

On September 21, 1953, the claimant having withdrawn her answer, and without admitting or denying the allegations of the libel, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered. The court ordered that the products be released under bond for relabeling under the supervision of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

4219. Misbranding of hormone hair cream. U. S. v. 6 Dozen Jars, etc. (F. D. C. No. 35251. Sample No. 15009-L.)

LIBEL FILED: May 21, 1953, Northern District of Iowa; libel amended June 17, 1953.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about January 27 and 30, 1953, by Wanasco Laboratories, from Omaha, Nebr.

PRODUCT: 6 dozen 1-ounce jars and 100 2-ounce jars of *hormone hair cream* at Sioux City, Iowa.

LABEL, IN PART: (Jar) "Hormone Hair Creme For Men and Women A Hyper-active Cream Containing 10,000 I. U. Of Natural Estrogenic Hormone Per Ounce."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the jar label were false and misleading. The statements represented and suggested that the article was an adequate and effective treatment for baldness and for preventing baldness, whereas the articles was not an adequate and effective treatment for baldness and for preventing baldness.

DISPOSITION: September 29, 1953. The consignee of the product having filed a claim and answer and later having withdrawn such claim and answer, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the product be destroyed.

4220. Misbranding of rowing device. U. S. v. 41 Devices, etc. (F. D. C. No. 35363. Sample No. 69880-L.)

LIBEL FILED: July 24, 1953, District of Colorado.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about May 6, 1953, by the Kasselberg-Griffith Co., from Chicago, Ill.

PRODUCT: 41 *rowing devices* at Denver, Colo., together with a number of leaflets entitled "Row Your Way to Health." The device consisted of a horizontal rectangular metal frame approximately 3 feet long and approximately 18 inches wide, provided with a movable seat, a footrest, and a movable handle attached to a set of springs by means of a connecting strap.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements in the above-mentioned leaflets accompanying the device were false and misleading. The statements represented and suggested that the device provided an effective method for removing fat deposits from the stomach and hips and for breaking down fatty tissue; and that it provided an adequate and effective treatment for overweight, gaseous troubles, disorders of the bowels, liver, and kidneys, constipation, digestive tract disorders, and skin blemishes, and for enabling the user to regain good health. The device was not an adequate and effective treatment for such conditions and purposes.