

Analysis of a sample of the product by the Bureau of Chemistry of this Department showed the following results:

Total solids (per cent).....	13.36
Remaining water (per cent).....	5.94
Salt (per cent).....	16.64
Fat (per cent).....	19.38
Crude fiber (per cent).....	7.99
Nitrogen (per cent).....	3.59
Nitrogen to salt, fat, and water free basis (per cent).....	6.18
Protein, air-dry (per cent).....	22.43
Protein (salt, fat, and water free basis) (per cent).....	38.62
Turmeric (per cent), approximately.....	.75
Crude fiber (per cent).....	13.29

Adulteration of the product was alleged in the information for the reason that it was an inferior mustard and was colored with turmeric, an artificial coloring, in a manner whereby the appearance of a superior grade of mustard was simulated and the inferiority of the article was concealed, as shown by the analysis set forth above. Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the product was labeled or branded so as to deceive or mislead the purchaser thereof, in that the label contained the words and figures set forth above, the statement on the label "Prepared Mustard," without any qualifying statement, being false and misleading, as it conveyed the impression that the product was prepared mustard free from artificial coloring matter, whereas it was a mixture of mustard and turmeric, an artificial coloring matter.

On February 22, 1913, the defendant company entered a plea of guilty to the information and the court imposed a fine of \$10.

B. T. GALLOWAY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

WASHINGTON, D. C., *February 9, 1914.*

2870. Misbranding of cottonseed meal. U. S. v. Fort Smith Cotton Oil Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$15 and costs. (F. & D. No. 4164. I. S. No. 9118-d.)

On August 6, 1912, the United States Attorney for the Western District of Arkansas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Fort Smith Cotton Oil Co., a corporation, engaged in business at Fort Smith, Ark., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on October 17, 1911, from the State of Arkansas into the State of Vermont, of a quantity of cottonseed meal which was misbranded. The product was labeled: (On tag) "S. P. Davis, Little Rock, Arkansas, Cotton Seed Meal Good Luck Brand . . . 100 pounds Gross Guaranteed Analysis: Ammonia 8 to 8½ per cent; Protein 41 to 43 per cent; Nitrogen 6½ to 7 per cent; Oil or Fat 7 to 9 per cent; Crude Fibre, not over 10½ per cent; Made from Decorated Cotton Seed S. P. Davis, Shipper . . . Little Rock, Arkansas."

Analysis of a sample of the product by the Bureau of Chemistry of this Department showed the following result: Protein, 38.75 per cent. Misbranding of the product was alleged in the information for the reason that the statement on the tag, "Protein 41 per cent," was false and misleading, as it conveyed the impression that this amount of protein—a valuable ingredient—was present in the product, whereas in fact a less amount thereof was present, to wit, 38.75 per cent. Misbranding of the product was alleged for the further reason that it was labeled and branded so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser into the belief that the protein content thereof was 41 per cent, whereas in fact a less amount of this valuable ingredient, to wit, 38.75 per cent, was present.

On August 20, 1912, the defendant company entered a plea of guilty to the information and the court imposed a fine of \$15 and costs of \$14.65.

B. T. GALLOWAY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

WASHINGTON, D. C., *February 18, 1914.*