Lake & Burns Co., Flensburg, Minn., and transported from the State of Minnesota into the State of Indiana, and charging misbranding under the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents of each of said 42 sacks was not then and there plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of said sacks in terms of weight, measure, or numerical count.

On December 26, 1919, no claimant having appeared for the property, a default decree of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be sold by the United States marshal.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

7724. Misbranding of Zip. U. S. * * * v. 3 Dozen Bottles and 68 Bottles of Zip. Default decrees of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. Nos. 10408, 10409. I. S. Nos. 7045-r, 7046-r. S. Nos. C-1244, C-1245.)

On May 22, 1919, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Missouri, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels for the condemnation and forfeiture of 3 dozen bottles and 68 bottles of Zip, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at St. Louis, Mo., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about December 28, 1917, and December 24, 1918, by Baker-Levy Chemical Co., Indianapolis, Ind., and transported from the State of Indiana into the State of Missouri, and alleging misbranding under the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Analyses of samples of the product made in the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted essentially of acetates and sulphates of zinc and lead, opium, berberine, plant extractives, alcohol, and water.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the libels for the reason that the statements appearing on the labels and in the circular accompanying the article, regarding the curative and therapeutic effects of the article and of the ingredients and substances contained therein, falsely and fraudulently represented that the article was a treatment, remedy, and cure for gonorrhea, gleet, and leucorrhea, for male and female, whereas, in truth and in fact, it was not.

On April 3, 1920, no claimant having appeared for the property, a default decree of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

7725. Misbranding of Santal-Midy Capsules. U. S. * * * v. 36 Dozen Bottles * * * Santal-Midy Capsules. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 10410. I. S No. 2172-r. S. No. W-376.)

On May 27, 1919, the United States attorney for the Southern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the condemnation and forfeiture of 36 dozen bottles of Santal-Midy Capsules, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Los Angeles, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about April 5, 1919, by E. Fougera & Co., New York, N. Y., and transported from the State of New York into the State of California, and charging misbranding under the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.