lower or reduce and injuriously affect its quality, and had been substituted in part for gelatin, which the article purported to be. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that the article contained an added poisonous and deleterious ingredient, to wit, arsenic, which might render it injurious to health.

On March 23, 1920, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court ordered that said defendant be discharged upon payment of the costs of the proceedings.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

S124. Adulteration and misbranding of Anderson's Waukesha Water. U. S. \* \* \* v. William H. Anderson (W. H. Anderson). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. No. 9974. I. S. No. 5855-r.)

On June 5, 1920, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against William H. Anderson, trading as W. H. Anderson, Waukesha, Wis., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, on or about March 26, 1918, from the State of Wisconsin into the State of Indiana, of a quantity of an article labeled in part "Anderson's Genuine Waukesha Water," which was adulterated and misbranded.

A sanitary analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed the following results, expressed as milligrams per liter, except as otherwise given.

	Bottle-			
	 1	2	3	4
Chlorid (Cl). Bicarbonate (HCO <sub>3</sub> ). Free ammonia nitrogen (N). Albuminoid ammonia nitrogen (N). Nitrite nitrogen (N). Nitrate nitrogen (N). Residue at 110° C.: Does not darken. Bacteriological examination showed the small quantities of the water.	5. 6 351. 0 Trace. . 062 Trace. 5. 0	None. . 046 Trace. 5. 0	None.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy and decomposed substance.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package. Misbranding was alleged in substance for the further reason that the statement, "The Best Kidney Water on Earth!" appearing on the bottle label of the article, regarding the therapeutic or curative effects thereof, falsely and fraudulently represented it to be effective as a treatment, remedy, and cure for diseases of the kidneys, when, in truth and in fact, it was not.

On June 21, 1920, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.