the article had been shipped on or about May 22, 1919, and transported from the State of Missouri into the State of Colorado, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the pills consisted essentially of an iron salt, strychnine, phosphorus, and unidentified plant extractives.

It was alleged in substance in the libel that the article was misbranded for the reason that the label on each package or box bore the following statements, regarding the curative and therapeutic effects of said pills, "Highly recommended for Nervousness, General Debility, Lack of Energy, Self Distrust, Loss of Memory and Diseases arising from Mental Worry, Overwork, Excesses, etc.," which said statements were false and fraudulent in that the pills contained no ingredients or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed, and said pills were not a remedy or cure for any of the diseases mentioned.

On October 8, 1920, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

8959. Misbranding of Allan's Star Brand Pills. U.S. \* \* \* v.4 Boxes \* \* \* of Allan's Star Brand Pills. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 13757. Inv. No. 23298. S. No. C-2541.)

On October 14, 1920, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Arkansas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 4 boxes of Allan's Star Brand Pills, at Blytheville, Ark., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about August 5, 1920, by the Allan-Pieiffer Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo., and transported from the State of Missouri into the State of Arkansas, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the contents of the pills consisted essentially of aloes, ferrous sulphate, and starch.

It was alleged in substance in the libel that the article was misbranded for the reason that there appeared upon the circular inclosed in each box of the article the following statements regarding the curative and therapeutic effects of the same, "A Good Remedy in Suppressed or Painful Menstruation \* \* \* to bring on the menses \* \* \* immediately preceding the expected appearance of the menstrual flow \* \* treatment should begin \* \* \* Take one Pill \* \* \* Continue this treatment \* \* \* until a satisfactory result is secured \* \* \* To Prevent Irregularities Take one Pill \* \* \* four or five days preceding the expected appearance of the menstrual period \* \* \* For Painful Menstruation The same treatment prescribed for suppression," all of which said statements were false and fraudulent for the reason that the pills contained no ingredients or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed for them.

On November 22, 1920, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

8960. Adulteration of tomato catsup. U. S. \* \* \* v. 1,549 Cases and 76 Cases \* \* \* of Queen of the Valley Brand Catsup. Product ordered released on bond. (F. & D. Nos. 9399, 9401. I. S. Nos. 6458-r, 6459-r. S. Nos. C-992, C-993.)

On October 17 and 18, 1918, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels for the seizure and condemnation of

1,549 cases and 76 cases of Queen of the Valley Brand Catsup, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Lansing, Mich., alleging that the article had been shipped on September 6, 1918, and October 18, 1917, from Shirley, Ind., by the Brooks Tomato Products Co., Collinsville, Ill., and transported from the State of Indiana into the State of Michigan, and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part, "Queen of the Valley Catsup \* \* \*."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the libels for the reason that it consisted in part of a filthy and decomposed vegetable substance.

On May 19, 1919, the said Brooks Tomato Froducts Co., claimant, having paid the costs of the proceedings and having tendered bond in the aggregate sum of \$1,000, in conformity with section 10 of the act, it was ordered by the court that the product be released and delivered to said claimant.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

8961. Misbranding of Pabst's Okay Specific. U. S. \* \* \* v. 11 Dozen Bottles of Pabst's Okay Specific. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 9636. I. S. No. 7489-r. S. No. C-1039.)

On January 28, 1919, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Missouri, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel, and on April 1, 1919, an amended libel, for the seizure and condemnation of 11 dozen bottles of Pabst's Okay Specific, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at St. Louis, Mo., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Pabst Chemical Co., Chicago, Ill., on or about November 26, 1918, and transported from the State of Illinois into the State of Missouri, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended. The article was labeled in part, "Pabst's O. K. Okay Specific O. K. Trade Mark Alcohol 24 per cent For Gonorrhea, Gleet, Urethritis, and Chronic Mucous Discharges \* \* Manufacturers and Proprietors Pabst Chemical Co., Chicago, Ill."

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted essentially of balsam of copaiba, oleoresin of cubebs, and plant extractives, including buchu and uva ursi, and 30 per cent of alcohol by volume.

It was alleged in substance in the libel, as amended, that the article was misbranded for the reason that certain statements, regarding the curative and therapeutic effects thereof, falsely and fraudulently represented it to be effective for gonorrhea, gleet, urethritis, and chronic mucous discharges, to relieve and overcome chronic discharges of long standing, and to be effective in the most serious cases of gonorrhea as well as the oldest cases of gleet, and to cause gleet or chronic gonorrhea to disappear in cases which frequently refused to yield to other treatment, when, in truth and in fact, it was not. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the package failed to bear a statement on the label of the quantity or proportion of alcohol contained therein.

On January 10, 1921, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

8962. Misbranding of Columbia Short Stop. U. S. \* \* \* v. 6½ Dozen Bottles of \* \* \* Columbia Short Stop \* \* \*. Heard exparte by the court and a jury. Verdict for the Government. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 10279. I. S. No. 16381-r. S. No. E-1407.)

On May 14, 1919, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of South Carolina, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 6½ dozen bottles of an article, labeled in part "Columbia Short Stop \* \* \* Colum-