

**9028. Misbranding of Allan's Star Brand Pills. U. S. \* \* \* v. 5 Packages of Allan's Star Brand Pills. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 13729. Inv. No. 23287. S. No. C-2526.)**

On October 1, 1920, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Arkansas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 5 packages of Allan's Star Brand Pills, at Newport, Ark., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about June 4, 1920 (1919), by the Allan-Pfeiffer Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo., and transported from the State of Missouri into the State of Arkansas, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the pills consisted essentially of ferrous sulphate, aloes, and starch.

It was alleged in substance in the libel that the article was misbranded for the reason that there appeared on the circular inclosed with the same the following statements, "A Good Remedy In Suppressed Or Painful Menstruation \* \* \* to bring on the menses \* \* \* immediately preceding the expected appearance of the menstrual flow \* \* \* treatment should begin. \* \* \* Take one Pill \* \* \* Continue this treatment \* \* \* until a satisfactory result is secured. \* \* \* four or five (days) preceding the expected appearance of the menstrual period. \* \* \* For Painful Menstruation—The same treatment prescribed for suppression," all of which were false and fraudulent in that the remedy contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients that would produce the therapeutic or medicinal effects claimed for it.

On January 4, 1921, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**9029. Adulteration of frozen eggs. U. S. \* \* \* v. 900 Cans of Frozen Eggs. Judgment of condemnation. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 14110. I. S. No. 7615-t. S. No. E-3010.)**

On December 23, 1920, the United States attorney for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 900 cans of frozen eggs, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Bloomsburg, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped by Teichner & Schneider, Chicago, Ill., on or about October 26, 1920, and transported from the State of Illinois into the State of Pennsylvania, and charging adulteration under the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part, "Whole Eggs Ill. License Breaker No. 10 Teichner & Schneider 1105 South Morgan Street Chicago, Ill."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted wholly or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal substance.

On January 15, 1921, Teichner & Schneider, Chicago, Ill., having entered an appearance as claimants of the property, and the case having come on for final disposition, judgment of condemnation was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to said claimants upon payment of the costs of the proceedings, and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$8,000, in conformity with section 10 of the act.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*