

9257. Misbranding of currants and cherries. U. S. * * * v. Earl W. Puffer. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 14044. I. S. Nos. 605-t, 607-t.)

On January 26, 1921, the United States attorney for the Western District of Michigan, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against Earl W. Puffer, Bangor, Mich., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, on or about July 22, 1920, from the State of Michigan into the State of Illinois, of quantities of currants and cherries which were misbranded.

Misbranding of the articles was alleged in the information for the reason that they were food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously stated on the outside of the package.

On March 8, 1921, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

9258. Misbranding of blackberries. U. S. * * * v. Henry F. Ulbright. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 14056. I. S. No. 4619-t.)

On March 10, 1921, the United States attorney for the Western District of Michigan, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against Henry F. Ulbright, Benton Harbor, Mich., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, on or about August 10, 1920, from the State of Michigan into the State of Illinois, of a quantity of blackberries which were misbranded.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On March 15, 1921, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

9259. Adulteration and misbranding of currants. U. S. * * * v. 10 Cases * * * of Currants * * * Fairy Blossom Brand. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 14138. I. S. No. 7845-t. S. No. E-3035.)

On January 4, 1921, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 10 cases, more or less, of Fairy Blossom Brand currants, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Philadelphia, Pa., consigned by Birdsong Bros., New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about November 30, 1920, and transported from the State of New York into the State of Pennsylvania, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted, in whole or in part, of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid vegetable substance.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On March 1, 1921, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

E. D. BALL, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*