On July 21, 1920, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

9727. Misbranding of Hall's Texas Wonder. U. S. \* \* \* v. 12 Packages \* \* \* of \* \* \* Texas Wonder. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 12913. I. S. No. 24553-r. S. No. C-1977.)

On June 15, 1920, the United States attorney for the Western District of Kentucky, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 12 packages, more or less, of Hall's Texas Wonder, remaining unsold in the original packages at Louisville, Ky., consigned by E. W. Hall, St. Louis, Mo., April 5, 1920, alleging that the article had been shipped from St. Louis, Mo., and transported from the State of Missouri into the State of Kentucky, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended. The article was labeled in part: "The Texas Wonder \* \* \* E. W. Hall, Sole Manufacturer. \* \* \* St. Louis, Mo. \* \* \* A Remedy For Kidney and Bladder Troubles. Weak and Lame Backs, Rheumatism and Gravel. Regulates Bladder Trouble in Children."

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted essentially of copaiba, rhubarb, colchicum, guaiac, oil of turpentine, alcohol, and water.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the libel for the reason that the package or label bore the above-quoted false and fraudulent statements regarding the curative or therapeutic effect of the said article.

On September 15, 1920, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

9728. Adulteration and misbranding of sirup vinegar. U. S. \* \* \* v. 25 Bbls. \* \* \* of Vinegar. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture, permitting product to be released under bond. (F. & D. No. 12923. I. S. No. 24766-r. S. No. C-1985.)

On June 15, 1920, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Ohio, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 25 barrels, more or less, of vinegar, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Cleveland, Ohio, alleging that the article had been shipped by the Vernon D. Price Co., Pittsburgh, Pa., on or about April 6, 1920, and transported from the State of Pennsylvania into the State of Ohio, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part, (barrels) "Vernon D. Price Syrup Vinegar \* \* \*"

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that an excess amount of water had been mixed and packed with, and substituted wholly or in part for, the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement "Syrup Vinegar" was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser, and for the further reason that the article was an imitation of, and was offered for sale under the distinctive name of, another article.

On July 27, 1920, the Vernon D. Price Co., Pittsburgh, Pa., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having executed a bond in the sum