

# United States Department of Agriculture,

## BUREAU OF CHEMISTRY.

W. G. CAMPBELL, Acting Chief of Bureau.

---

### SERVICE AND REGULATORY ANNOUNCEMENTS.

#### SUPPLEMENT.

N. J. 9901—9950.

[Approved by the Acting Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., February 27, 1922.]

---

#### NOTICES OF JUDGMENT UNDER THE FOOD AND DRUGS ACT.

[Given pursuant to section 4 of the Food and Drugs Act.]

**9901. Misbranding of tankage. U. S. \* \* \* v. Standard By-Products Co., Inc., a Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 14753. I. S. No. 11558-t.)**

On June 7, 1921, the United States attorney for the Western District of Kentucky, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Standard By-Products Co., Inc., a corporation, Louisville, Ky., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about March 8, 1920, from the State of Kentucky into the State of Indiana, of a quantity of tankage which was misbranded.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it contained 55.4 per cent of protein.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that the statement, to wit, "Guaranteed Analysis Protein 60% \* \* \*," borne on the sacks containing the article, regarding it and the ingredients and substances contained therein, was false and misleading in that the said statement represented that the article contained not less than 60 per cent of protein, and for the further reason that the article was labeled as aforesaid so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser into the belief that it contained not less than 60 per cent of protein, whereas, in truth and in fact, it contained less than 60 per cent of protein, to wit, approximately 55.4 per cent.

On June 18, 1921, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**9902. Adulteration of canned beans. U. S. \* \* \* v. 301 Cases \* \* \* of Beans. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 14800. I. S. Nos. 4189-t, 4914-t. S. No. C-2974.)**

On April 16, 1921, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Dis-