

10003. Misbranding of grapes. U. S. * * * v. Niagara River Fruit Growers Association, a Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 14759. I. S. No. 5909-t.)

On July 12, 1921, the United States attorney for the Western District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Niagara River Fruit Growers Association, a corporation, Model City, N. Y., alleging shipment by said company, on or about October 8, 1920, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, from the State of New York into the State of Pennsylvania, of a quantity of grapes in unlabeled baskets, which were misbranded.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On September 6, 1921, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10004. Misbranding of apples and pears. U. S. * * * v. Newton J. Barry. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 14902. I. S. Nos. 5901-t, 5936-t.)

On July 12, 1921, the United States attorney for the Western District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against Newton J. Barry, Millers, N. Y., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, from the State of New York into the State of Pennsylvania, on or about October 9, 1920, of a quantity of apples in barrels, and on or about January 15, 1921, of a quantity of pears in barrels, which were misbranded.

Misbranding of the articles was alleged in the information for the reason that they were food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the packages.

On October 25, 1921, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10005. Misbranding of grapes. U. S. * * * v. Fred J. Taylor. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 14903. I. S. No. 5908-t.)

On July 12, 1921, the United States attorney for the Western District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against Fred J. Taylor, Ripley, N. Y., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, on or about October 13, 1920, from the State of New York into the State of Pennsylvania, of a quantity of grapes in unlabeled baskets, which were misbranded.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it was food in package form, and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On September 6, 1921, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10006. Adulteration and misbranding of olive oil. U. S. * * * v. 13 Cans, More or Less, of White Star Brand Olive Oil. Consent decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 15116. I. S. Nos. 8074-t, 8075-t. S. No. E-3406.)

On July 6, 1921, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 13 cans, more or less, of olive oil, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Philadelphia, Pa., consigned by Yohalem & Diamond, New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped from New York, N. Y., on or about May 21, 1921, and transported from the State of New York into the State of Pennsylvania, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that cottonseed oil had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce, lower, and injuriously affect its quality and strength and had been substituted wholly or in