

10057. Adulteration and misbranding of coal-tar color. U. S. * * * v. 3 Pounds and 12 Ounces * * * of Coal-Tar Color, et al. Default decrees of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. Nos. 15044, 15045, 15094 I. S. Nos. 2916-t, 2918-t, 2919-t, 2926-t, 2927-t. S. Nos. C-3076, C-3077, C-3088.)

On June 23 and 27, 1921, respectively, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Texas, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels for the seizure and condemnation of seven 1-pound cans and four 12-ounce lots of coal-tar color, at Houston, Tex., alleging that the article had been shipped by the W. B. Wood Mfg. Co., St. Louis, Mo., on or about August 15, 1918, and January 27 and February 15, 1921, respectively, and transported from the State of Missouri into the State of Texas, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part, "W. B. Wood Mfg. Co., St. Louis, Mo. Complies with all requirements, * * *"

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the libels for the reason that [sodium] chlorid and [sodium] sulphate had been mixed and packed with, and substituted wholly or in part for, the said article. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that the article contained an added poisonous or deleterious ingredient, arsenic, which might render it injurious to health.

Misbranding of the article involved in the consignment of January 27, 1921, was alleged in substance for the reason that the statement on the label of the cans containing the said article, to wit, "Complies with all requirements, Warranted quality, color," was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser.

On October 11, 1921, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10058. Adulteration of peanut butter. U. S. * * * v. 2 Barrels of Peanut Butter * * *. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 15078. I. S. No. 8487-t. S. No. E-3391.)

On June 22, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 2 barrels of peanut butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Baltimore, Md., consigned on or about May 25, 1921, alleging that the article had been shipped by the O. D. Peanut Corp., Norfolk, Va., and transported from the State of Virginia into the State of Maryland, and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part, "Old Dominion Peanut Corp. Con-B Brand Peanut Butter * * *"

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance, mineral oil, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength and had been substituted in part for the said article.

On October 17, 1921, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10059. Adulteration and misbranding of table oil. U. S. * * * v. 24 Cans of * * * Table Oil * * *. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and sale. (F. & D. No. 15247. I. S. No. 8486-t. S. No. E-3486.)

On July 26, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 24 cans of table oil, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Baltimore, Md., consigned on or about June 4, 1921, alleging that the article had been shipped by Gamanos & Booskos, New York, N. Y., and transported from the State of New York into the State of Maryland, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in substance in the libel for the reason that corn oil and cottonseed oil had been mixed and packed therewith so as to