

On September 15, 1920, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10082. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Louis Mandes. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 614-c.)

On September 16, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Louis Mandes, Washington, D. C., alleging that on August 24, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom.

On September 16, 1920, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10083. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Parros Papageorge. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 615-c.)

On September 17, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Parros Papageorge, Washington, D. C., alleging that on August 24, 1920, the said defendant did sell and offer for sale in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom.

On September 17, 1920, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10084. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Anthony G. Mandes. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 616-c.)

On September 20, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Anthony G. Mandes, Washington, D. C., alleging that on August 23, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been abstracted therefrom in whole or in part.

On September 21, 1920, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. PUGSLEY, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

10085. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Charles C. Rogers. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 617-c.)

On September 23, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Charles C. Rogers, Washington, D. C., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on August 23, 1920, from Herndon, in the State of Virginia, into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom.