10090. Adulteration of milk. U.S. * * * v. Joseph F. Hale. Collateral of \$50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 623-c.)

On October 19, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Joseph F. Hale, Washington, D. C., alleging shipment by the said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on September 25, 1920, from Nokesville, in the State of Virginia, into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in

part abstracted therefrom.

On October 19, 1920, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$50 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

10091. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Carl Glaettli. Collateral of \$50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 624-c.)

On October 22, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Carl Glaettli, Washington, D. C., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on September 23, 1920, from Catlett, in the State of Virginia, into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in

part abstracted therefrom.

On October 22, 1920, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$50 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

10092. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. Thomas T. Barnsley. Collateral of \$50 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 625-c.)

On October 22, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against Thomas T. Barnsley, Washington, D. C., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on September 22, 1920, from Derwood, in the State of Maryland, into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in part abstracted therefrom.

On October 22, 1920, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$50 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

10093. Adulteration of milk. U. S. * * * v. William C. Bates. Collateral of \$25 forfeited. (F. & D. No. 626-c.)

On December 6, 1920, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Police Court of the District aforesaid an information against William C. Bates, Washington, D. C., alleging that on November 24, 1920, the said defendant did offer for sale and sell in the District of Columbia, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, a quantity of milk which was adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a certain valuable constituent thereof, to wit, butter fat, had been wholly or in

part abstracted therefrom.

On December 6, 1920, the defendant having failed to enter an appearance, the \$25 collateral which had been deposited by him to insure his appearance was declared forfeited by the court.

C. W. Pugsley, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.