

filed a satisfactory bond in conformity with section 10 of the act, judgment of condemnation was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings.

HOWARD M. GORE, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11658. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 66 Tubs and 15 Tubs of Butter. Decree of condemnation entered. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 17590. I. S. Nos. 1883-v, 1884-v. S. No. E-4425.)

On July 2, 1923, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel of information praying the seizure and condemnation of 81 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Boston, Mass., alleging that the article had been shipped by the North Montpelier [Cooperative] Creamery, North Montpelier, Vt., on or about June 20, 1923, and transported from the State of Vermont into the State of Massachusetts, and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance, to wit, excessive water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that a substance, to wit, a product deficient in milk fat and high in moisture, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength and had been substituted in whole or in part for butter, which the said article purported to be. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that a valuable constituent of the article, to wit, butterfat, had been in whole or in part abstracted.

On July 6, 1923, H. P. Belknap, trading as George A. Fales Co., Boston, Mass., having entered an appearance as claimant for the property and having filed a satisfactory bond in conformity with section 10 of the act, judgment of condemnation was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings.

HOWARD M. GORE, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11659. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 103 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 17594. I. S. No. 4275-v. S. No. C-4060.)

On July 2, 1923, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 103 tubs of butter, remaining unsold in the original tubs at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Butternut Cooperative Creamery Co., from Butternut, Wis., June 20, 1923, and transported from the State of Wisconsin into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance deficient in milk fat and high in moisture had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength and had been substituted in whole or in part for the said article. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that a valuable constituent of the said article, to wit, butterfat, had been in part abstracted therefrom.

On July 7, 1923, the Butternut Cooperative Creamery Assoc., Butternut, Wis., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it be reprocessed under the supervision of this department.

HOWARD M. GORE, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

11660. Misbranding of cottonseed meal. U. S. v. Riverside Cotton Oil Co., a Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$100. (F. & D. No. 14352. I. S. No. 12019-r.)

On July 16, 1921, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Texas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Riverside Cotton Oil Co., a corporation, Fort Worth, Tex., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended, on or about Novem-