

United States Department of Agriculture

SERVICE AND REGULATORY ANNOUNCEMENTS

BUREAU OF CHEMISTRY

SUPPLEMENT

N. J. 12551-12600

[Approved by the Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., January 12, 1925]

NOTICES OF JUDGMENT UNDER THE FOOD AND DRUGS ACT

[Given pursuant to section 4 of the Food and Drugs Act]

12551. Misbranding of molasses feed. U. S. v. Grain Belt Mills Co., a Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$10 and costs. (F. & D. No. 17704. I. S. No. 10455-v.)

On February 15, 1924, the United States attorney for the Western District of Missouri, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Grain Belt Mills Co., a corporation, St. Joseph, Mo., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or about November 3, 1922, from the State of Missouri into the State of Kentucky, of a quantity of molasses feed which was misbranded. The article was labeled in part: (Tag) "Tiger Alfalfa Molasses Feed Made by Grain Belt Mills Co. So. St. Joseph, Mo. Guaranteed Analysis Protein 10.00 Per Cent."

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the said sample contained 8.07 per cent of protein.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that the statement, to wit, "Guaranteed Analysis Protein 10.00 Per Cent," borne on the tags attached to the sacks containing the said article, was false and misleading in that the said statement represented that the article contained not less than 10 per cent of protein, and for the further reason that it was labeled as aforesaid so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser into the belief that it contained not less than 10 per cent of protein, whereas, in truth and in fact, it did contain less than 10 per cent of protein, to wit, approximately 8.07 per cent of protein.

On March 15, 1924, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$10 and costs.

HOWARD M. GORE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

12552. Misbranding of cottonseed meal. U. S. v. Eastern Cotton Oil Co., a Corporation. Judgment for the Government. Fine, \$45. (F. & D. No. 17776. I. S. Nos. 1296-v, 1459-v, 2593-v.)

On November 10, 1923, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of North Carolina, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Eastern Cotton Oil Co., a corporation, Edenton, N. C., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, from the State of North Carolina, on or about December 4, 1922, into the State of Maryland, on or about December 11, 1922, into the State of Virginia, and on or about January 2, 1923, into the State of Pennsylvania, of quantities of cottonseed meal which was misbranded. The consignments of December 4, 1922, and January 2, 1923, were labeled in part: (Tag) "Perfection Cotton Seed Meal 100 Lbs. Net Manufactured by Eastern Cotton Oil Company Edenton, N. C., Guarantee Protein not less than 41.00% Equivalent to Ammonia 8.00%