## United States Department of Agriculture SERVICE AND REGULATORY ANNOUNCEMENTS

## BUREAU OF CHEMISTRY

## SUPPLEMENT

N. J. 13301-13350

[Approved by the Acting Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., July 14, 1925]

## NOTICES OF JUDGMENT UNDER THE FOOD AND DRUGS ACT

[Given pursuant to section 4 of the food and drugs act]

13301. Adulteration of oranges. U. S. v. 315 Cases of Oranges. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 19811. I. S. No. 21136-v. S. No. W-1671.)

On February 19, 1925, the United States attorney for the Western District of Washington, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 315 cases of oranges, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Seattle, Wash., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Mutual Orange Distributors, from Wilmington, Calif., February 8, 1925, and transported from the State of California into the State of Washington, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Case) "California Oranges \* \* \* Dependable Brand Cooperative Orange Distributors California Member Mutual Orange Distributors California."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance, an inedible product, had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article.

On March 3, 1925, the Pacific Fruit & Produce Co., Seattle, Wash., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it be repacked under the supervision of this department, so as to eliminate the portion unfit for consumption.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

13302. Misbranding of horse and mule feed. U. S. v. 50 Sacks of Horse and Mule Feed. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond to be relabeled. (F. & D. No. 19569, I. S. No. 16626-v. S. No. E-5131.)

On February 9, 1925, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of North Carolina, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 50 sacks of horse and mule feed, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Raleigh, N. C., consigned by the Atlantic Milling Co., Augusta, Ga., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about January 26, 1925, from Atlanta, Ga., and transported from the State of Georgia into the State of North Carolina, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Tag) "Amco Horse and Mule Feed Manufactured By Atlantic Milling Company, Augusta, Ga. Guaranteed Analysis Protein 10% Fat 2% Fibre 15%."