

13402. Adulteration of walnut meats. U. S. v. Max Part. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 17794. I. S. No. 8161-v.)

On February 26, 1924, the United States attorney for the Southern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against Max Part, Los Angeles, Calif., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or about November 29, 1922, from the State of California into the State of Colorado, of a quantity of walnut meats which were adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "Dark Amber Meats 50 Net."

Examination by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department of 2 samples of the product showed that the said samples contained 19.25 per cent and 23.62 per cent, respectively, of inedible nuts, consisting of wormy, moldy, rancid, or decomposed nuts.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it consisted in part of a filthy and decomposed vegetable substance.

At the March, 1925, term of court the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

13403. Adulteration of red raspberries. U. S. v. 90 Barrels, et al., of Raspberries. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 17848. I. S. Nos. 649-v, 15754-v. S. No. E-4492.)

On October 8, 1923, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 161 barrels of raspberries, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Puyallup & Sumner Fruit Growers' Assoc., from Seattle, Wash., in part on or about July 23, 1923, and in part on or about July 27, 1923, and transported from the State of Washington into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of partially decomposed raspberries.

On May 11, 1925, the Puyallup & Sumner Fruit Growers' Assoc., Puyallup, Wash., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$3,000, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that the bad portion be separated from the good portion under the supervision of this department, and the bad portion destroyed or denatured.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

13404. Adulteration and misbranding of canned peas. U. S. v. Gibbs & Co. (Inc.). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$15 and costs. (F. & D. No. 18089. I. S. No. 1910-v.)

At the March, 1925, term of the United States District Court within and for the District of Maryland, the United States attorney for said district, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court aforesaid an information against Gibbs & Co. (Inc.), a corporation, Baltimore, Md., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or about June 29, 1923, from the State of Maryland into the State of Massachusetts, of a quantity of canned peas which were adulterated and misbranded. The article was labeled in part: "Gold Seal Extra Small Sweet Sifted Peas * * * The Booth Packing Co. Branch Of Gibbs & Company, Inc., Distributors."

Examination of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it contained an excessive amount of brine and that the peas were of the early, smooth-skin variety and not the sweet variety as labeled.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a substance, to wit, brine, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to lower and reduce and injuriously affect its quality and strength, and had been substituted in part for the said article, and for the further reason that early peas had been substituted for sweet peas, which the article purported to be.