13407. Misbranding of apricots. U. S. v. Ogden Commission Co. Tried to the court and a jury. Verdict of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 18337. I. S. No. 8502-v.)

On July 8, 1924, the United States attorney for the District of Utah, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Ogden Commission Co., a corporation, Ogden, Utah, alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act as amended on or about August 12, 1923, from the State of Utah into the State of Colorado, of a quantity of apricots in baskets which were misbranded.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that it was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not

plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On November 10, 1924, the case came on for trial before the court and a jury on an agreed statement of facts, and the jury returned a verdict of guilty, whereupon the court imposed a fine of \$50.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

13408. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. Johnson Butter Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$100 and costs. (F. & D. No. 18477. I. S. No. 1892-v.)

On May 15, 1925, the grand jurors of the United States within and for the District of Indiana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, upon presentment by the United States attorney for said district, returned in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid an indictment against the Johnson Butter Co., a corporation, Terre Haute, Ind., charging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, on June 6, 1923, from the State of Indiana into the State of Massachusetts, of a quantity of butter which was adulterated.

Analyses by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department of 5 samples of the article showed, an average of 76.96 per cent of milk fat and 18.17 per

cent of water.

Adulteration of the article was charged in the indictment for the reason that a product deficient in milk fat and containing an excessive amount of moisture had been substituted for butter, which the said article purported to be. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that a product which contained less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat, as prescribed by the act of March 4, 1923, which the said article purported to be.

On May 27, 1925, a plea of guilty to the indictment was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$100 and costs.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture

13409. Misbranding of butter. U. S. v. Hanford Produce Co. Pleas of guilty. Fines, \$200 and costs. (F. & D. Nos. 18728, 18753. I. S. Nos. 671-v, 672-v, 692-v, 678-v, 679-v, 694-v, 695-v, 7321-v, 15058-v, 15065-v, 15066-v, 15068-v.)

On July 18 and September 17, 1924, respectively, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Iowa, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district two informations against the Hanford Produce Co., a corporation, Sioux City, Iowa, alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, in various consignments, namely, on or about May 23, 26, and 30, 1923, respectively, from the State of Iowa into the District of Columbia, on or about January 19 and February 2, 1924, respectively, from the State of Iowa, into the State of Maryland, and on or about February 9, 1924, from the State of Iowa into the State of Alabama, of quantities of butter which was misbranded. The product consigned February 9, 1924, into Alabama was labeled in part: "1 Lb. Net Weight Hanfords * * * Fancy Creamery Butter * * * Hanford Produce Co., Sioux City, Iowa." The remainder of the said product was labeled in part: "Creamery Butter."

Analyses by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department of 74 samples, 5 samples, and 47 samples taken from the shipments into the District of Columbia, Alabama, and Maryland, respectively, showed an average of 78.7 per cent, 79 per cent, and 77.7 per cent, respectively, of milk fat, and 16.8 per cent, 16.2 per cent, and 16.9 per cent, respectively, of moisture. Examination of 50 packages of the product consigned February 9, 1924, into Alabama

showed that the average net weight was 15.58 ounces.