

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid vegetable substance.

On March 25, 1925, the Egypt Canning Co., Fairport, N. Y., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having executed a good and sufficient bond, in conformity with section 10 of the act, an order of the court was entered, providing that the product be released to the said claimant and that it be disposed of according to the decision of this department.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

13668. Adulteration of canned raspberry jam. U. S. v. 6 Cases of Raspberry Jam. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 18774. I. S. No. 20206-v. S. No. W-1515.)

On June 7, 1924, the United States attorney for the District of Montana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 6 cases of raspberry jam, at Helena, Mont., alleging that the article had been shipped by the California Packing Corp., San Francisco, Calif., on or about December 15, 1923, and transported from the State of California into the State of Montana, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Can) "Sun-Kist Brand Raspberry Jam. * * * California Packing Corporation * * * San Francisco California."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy and decomposed or putrid vegetable substance.

On March 26, 1925, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

13669. Misbranding of cottonseed meal. U. S. v. 48 Sacks of Cottonseed Meal. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 19015. I. S. No. 2470-v. S. No. E-4949.)

On September 24, 1924, the United States attorney for the Western District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 48 sacks of cottonseed meal, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Buffalo, N. Y., consigned by the South Texas Cotton Oil Co., Victoria, Tex., alleging that the article had been shipped from Victoria, Tex., August 30, 1924, and transported from the State of Texas into the State of New York, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: (Tag) "100 Lbs. (Net) 43% Protein Cottonseed Meal Prime Quality Manufactured by South Texas Cotton Oil Company Victoria, Texas Guaranteed Analysis: Crude Protein not less than 43.00 Per Cent * * * Crude Fiber not more than 12.00 Per Cent."

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that the statements "100 Lbs. (Net) 43% Protein Cottonseed Meal Prime Quality * * * Guaranteed Analysis: Crude Protein not less than 43.00 Per Cent * * * Crude Fiber not more than 12.00 Per Cent" were false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser, and for the further reason that it was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On April 24, 1925, the Humphreys-Godwin Co. having appeared as claimant for the property and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$250, in conformity with section 10 of the act, and it was further ordered that the product be repacked and relabeled under the supervision of this department.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

13670. Misbranding of cottonseed meal. U. S. v. 48 Sacks of Cottonseed Meal. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and sale. (F. & D. No. 19059. I. S. No. 2471-v. S. No. E-4979.)

On September 24, 1924, the United States attorney for the Western District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in