into the State of Louisiana, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted essentially of a mixture of gentian, ginger, rhubarb, licorice, cascara sagrada, buchu, senna, and sodium carbonate or bicarbonate.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that the statement on the box containing the said article "Composed of Roots, Barks and Herbs" and the statement on the accompanying circular "All Herbs" were false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser, in that sodium carbonate or bicarbonate was one of the ingredients. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the following statements regarding the curative and therapeutic effects of the article were false and fraudulent. since it contained no ingredient or combination thereof capable of producing the effects claimed: (Box label) "Sayman's Wonder Herbs A Blood Medicine \* \* \* A Boon For Suffering Women \* \* \* Guaranteed," (carton label) "Sayman's Wonder Herbs A Blood Medicine \* \* \* Regulates the Liver and Kidneys, cleanses the Blood, and aids Digestion \* \* \* For \* \* \* Dyspepsia, Loss of Appetite \* \* \* Sick Headache, \* \* \* La Grippe, Chills and Fever, Intermittent or Remittent Fever, Weak or Impaired Kidneys, Biliousness, Nervousness, Impure Blood, Rheumatism, Scrofula, Syphilitic Taints, Female Complaints and Blood Poison \* \* \* Beneficial to Women suffering from those ailments peculiar to their sex. A valuable treatment for LaGrippe, and its after effects, Malaria, Chills, Fever or Ague and all diseases arising from an impure or impoverished condition of the blood. \* \* \* disorder of the stomach, liver, and kidneys," (small circular) "The Bitter that is needed for the Blood and the Gall Bladder is furnished through the medium of Sayman's Wonder Herbs—the greatest Blood and Liver Medicine ever compounded \* \* \* an effective Blood Medicine," (retail price list) "for the blood, stomach, liver and kidneys."

On May 27, 1925, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

## 13703. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 8 Cubes and 1 Cube of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20324. I. S. Nos. 26-x, 27-x. S. No. W-1751.)

On July 15, 1925, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 9 cubes of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at San Francisco, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Mutual Creamery Co., from Grand Junction, Colo., on or about June 30, 1925, and transported from the State of Colorado into the State of California, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance deficient in butterfat had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article, and in that a valuable constituent, namely, butterfat, had been in part abstracted.

On July 28, 1925, the Mutual Creamery Co., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$205, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it be brought into compliance with the law under the supervision of this department.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

## 13704. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 1 Cube, 18 Cubes, and 14 Cubes of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20325. I. S. No. 32-x. S. No. W-1752.)

On or about July 17, 1925, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 33 cubes of butter, remaining in the original un-

broken packages at San Francisco, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Northern Creamery Co., from Great Falls, Mont., July 2, 1925, and transported from the State of Montana into the State of California, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a product deficient in butterfat had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article, and in that a valuable constituent, namely, butterfat, had been in

part abstracted.

On July 28, 1925, the Fred L. Hilmer Co., San Francisco, Calif., having appeared as claimant for the property and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$940, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it be brought into compliance with the act under the supervision of this department.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

## 13705. Misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 270 Pounds of Blue Valley Butter. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20179. I. S. No. 24791-v. S. No. C-4762.)

On or about June 20, 1925, the United States attorney for the Western District of Texas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 270 pounds of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at San Antonio, Tex., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Blue Valley Creamery Co., from Kansas City, Mo., on or about May 25, 1925, and transported from the State of Missouri into the State of Texas, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: (Carton) "Blue Valley Butter Blue Valley Creamery Co. General Offices, Chicago, Ill. Net Weight One Pound."

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that the statements borne on the cartons containing the article, "Net Weight One Pound," "Blue Valley Butter. Blue Valley Creamery Company," were false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser, in that the said cartons did not contain 1 pound of butter. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On July 17, 1925, the Beeks Produce Co. having appeared as claimant for the property and having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$225, in conformity with section 10 of the act.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

## 13706. Alleged adulteration of butter. U. S. v. South Peacham Creamery. Tried to the court and a jury. Verdict of not guilty. (F. & D. No. 18100. I. S. No. 1861-v.)

On March 28, 1924, the United States attorney for the District of Vermont, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information and on or about April 11, 1925, an amended information against the South Peacham-Creamery, a corporation, Barnet, Vt., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or about June 19, 1923, from the State of Vermont into the State of Massachusetts, of a quantity of butter in tubs which was alleged to be adulterated.

Analysis by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department of a sample of the article from each of 3 tubs showed 79 per cent, 77.8 per cent, and 78.6 per

cent, respectively, of milk fat.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a product which contained less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat, as prescribed by the act of March 4, 1923, which the article purported to be.