On October 16, 1925, F. Carrera & Hno., San Juan, P. R., having appeared as claimant for the property and having admitted the allegations of the libel, a decree was entered, ordering that the product be released to the said claimant upon the execution of a bond in the sum of \$3,000, conditioned in part that it be submitted to a cleaning process, and that its subsequent sale for human consumption be permitted only after inspection and approval by a representative of this department, otherwise that it be destroyed. The said bond was further conditioned upon payment of the costs of the proceedings by the said claimant.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

13909. Adulteration of cocoa. U. S. v. 24 Cases of Cocoa. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture and destruction. (F. & D. No. 20470. I. S. No. 7034-x. S. No. E-5510.)

On or about October 22, 1925, the United States attorney for the District of New Jersey, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 24 cases of cocoa, at Jersey City, N. J., alleging that the article had been shipped by W. H. Baker, Inc., Red Hook, N. Y., on or about August 11, 1925, and transported from the State of New York into the State of New Jersey, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: "W. H. Baker Best Cocoa * * Winchester, Va. Factory Red Hook, N. Y."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid vegetable substance.

On November 25, 1925, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

13910. Misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 6 Boxes of Butter. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20685. I. S. No. 5360-x. S. No. E-5571.)

On or about November 7, 1925, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 6 boxes of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Boston, Mass., consigned about November 2, 1925, alleging that the article had been shipped by the Green Mountain Creamery, Hardwick, Vt., and transported from the State of Vermont into the State of Massachusetts, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was contained in cartons labeled in part: "Contents 1 Lb. Net," and each carton contained two prints labeled: "This Package Contains Eight Ounces Of Butter."

It was alleged in substance in the libel that the article was misbranded, in that the statement of the net weight of the contents of the said cartons was incorrect, and in that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package, since the statement made was not correct.

On November 19, 1925, Williams & Root, Craftsbury, Vt., having entered an appearance as claimant for the property and having filed a satisfactory bond in conformity with section 10 of the act, judgment of condemnation was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product might be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

13911. Adulteration and misbranding of olive oil. U. S. v. 20 ½-Gallon Cans and 17 1-Gallon Cans of Olive Oil. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 20569. I. S. Nos. 6085-x. 6086-x. S. No. E-5547.)

On November 9, 1925, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 20 half-gallon cans and 17 gallon cans of olive oil, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Philadelphia, Pa., consigned by Pace & Sons, Providence, R. I., alleging that the article had been shipped from Providence, R. I., on or about August 7, 1925, and transported from the State of Rhode Island into the State of Pennsylvania, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act.

decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$800, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that the good portion be separated from the bad portion and the latter destroyed or denatured.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

13906. Adulteration of shelled pecans. U. S. v. 2 Barrels of Pecan Halves.

Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction.

(F. & D. No. 20563. I. S. No. 7097-x. S. No. E-5540.)

On November 9, 1925, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 2 barrels of pecan halves, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by the San Antonio Pecan Shelling Co., from San Antonio, Tex., April 30, 1924, and transported from the State of Texas into the State of New York. and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it con-

sisted in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid vegetable substance.

On November 23, 1925, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

13907. Misbranding of cottonseed meal. U. S. v. 80 Sacks of Cottonseed Meal. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 19924. I. S. No. 19852-v. S. No. C-4686.)

On March 27, 1925, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Ohio, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the eizure and condemnation of 80 sacks of cottonseed meal, remaining unsold n the original packages at Sunbury, Ohio, consigned January 5, 1925, allegng that the article had been shipped by the Dixie Cotton Oil Mill, from Little tock, Ark., and transported from the State of Arkansas into the State of The article was labeled in part: "Cottonseed Meal * * * rotein 43.00%."

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that he statement, "Protein 43.00%," borne on the labels, was false and miseading and deceived and misled the purchaser.

On November 23, 1925, the Condit Farmers' Co-operative Co., Centerburg,)hio, having appeared as claimant for the property and having consented o the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was ntered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to he said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the xecution of a bond in the sum of \$500, in conformity with section 10 of he act, said decree providing further that the product be relabeled to the atisfaction of this department.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

3908. Adulteration of rice. U. S. v. 417 Bags of Rice. Decree entered, ordering product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20469. I. S. No. 4813-x. S. No. E-5511.)

On or about October 8, 1925, the United States attorney for the District f Porto Rico, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in 12 District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the 2 sizure and condemnation of 417 bags of rice, at San Juan, P. R., alleging 12 the article had been shipped by the Simons Rice Mill, Crowley, La., on about December 19, 1924, and transported from the State of Louisiana into 1e Territory of Porto Rico, and charging adulteration in violation of the od and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it onsisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid vegetable

ibstance.