

On September 29, 1925, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and on October 29, 1925, the court imposed a fine of \$100.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

13983. Adulteration of canned salmon. U. S. v. 496 Cases, et al., of Salmon. Decrees of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. Nos. 19113, 19114, 19115, 19116. I. S. No. 19802-v. S. No. C-4526.)

On November 3, 1924, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Ohio, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels praying the seizure and condemnation of 716 cases of canned salmon, in part at Cincinnati, Ohio, and in part at Hamilton, Ohio, consigned by the Ward's Cove Packing Co., Ketchikan, Alaska, alleging that the article had been shipped from Prince Rupert, B. C., Dominion of Canada, and that it had been transported in interstate commerce into the State of Ohio, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Can) "Ward's Cove Brand Choice Alaska Salmon Pink * * * Packed by Ward's Cove Packing Co. Ketchikan, Alaska."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance.

On September 3 and October 14, 1925, respectively, the Ward's Cove Packing Co. having appeared as claimant for the property and having admitted the allegations of the libels, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of good and sufficient bonds, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it be salvaged and the bad portion destroyed or otherwise disposed of under the supervision of this department.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

13984. Misbranding of Brunswig's compound fluidextract buchu. U. S. v. 3 Dozen Bottles of Brunswig's Compound Fluidextract Buchu. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 17115. I. S. No. 7974-v. S. No. W-1270.)

On January 8, 1923, the United States attorney for the District of Arizona, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 3 dozen bottles of Brunswig's compound fluidextract buchu, at Phoenix, Ariz., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Brunswig Drug Co., Los Angeles, Calif., in part on or about August 24, 1920, and in part on or about November 15, 1921, and transported from the State of California into the State of Arizona, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The label on the bottle containing the article bore the following statements: "Indicated in inflammation of the Bladder or Kidneys; Catarrhal conditions of the Bladder or Urethra; Diseased Prostate; Gravel or Stone in the Bladder; Mucous Discharges." The carton containing the bottle was labeled in part as hereinafter set forth.

Analysis by the Bureau of Chemistry of this Department of a sample of the article showed that it consisted essentially of potassium acetate, extracts of plant drugs, glycerin, alcohol, and water.

It was alleged in substance in the libel that the article was misbranded, in that the following statements borne on the carton label regarding the curative and therapeutic effects of the said article, "For the Treatment of Diseases of Urinary and Genital Organs Stone in the Bladder Calculus Gravel And All Affections of the Bladder and Kidneys * * * The ingredients of Buchu Compound are those which have been proved to be of the greatest value, by physicians, in treating diseases of the urinary organs, such as Bright's Disease, Non-retention or Incontinence of Urine, Irritation, Inflammation or Ulceration of the Bladder or Kidneys, Chronic Catarrh of the Bladder and Urethra, Diseased Prostate, Gravel or Stone in the Bladder, Mucous and Milky Discharges, Dropsical Swellings, etc. For weakness arising from excess, indiscretion or dissipation in either sex," were false and fraudulent, since the said article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed.