

per tablet; the diacetylmorphine hydrochloride and terpin hydrate tablets, labeled "Diacetylmorphine HCL 1/50 gr." contained 1/67 grain of diacetylmorphine hydrochloride per tablet.

Misbranding of the articles was alleged in the information for the reason that the statement, to wit, "Tablets Atropine sulphate 1-150 gr.," "Tablets Nitroglycerin 1-100 gr.," "Tablets Strychnine Sulphate 1-60 Grain," "Tablets Acetphenetidin 2 Grains," "Tablets Diacetylmorphine Hydrochloride 1-24 Grain," "Tablets Atropine Sulphate 1-100 Grain," "Tablets Morphine Sulphate 1-8 Grain," "Tablets Nitroglycerin 1-100 Grain," "Tablets Strychnine Sulphate 1-100 Grain," "Tablets Caffeine Citrated 2 Grains," "Tablets Diacetylmorphine HCL 1/50 gr." and "Tablets Morphine Sulphate 1-4 Grain," as the case might be, borne on the labels of the respective products, were false and misleading, in that the said statements represented that each of said tablets contained the amount of the product declared on the label thereof, whereas the said tablets contained less than so declared. Misbranding was alleged with respect to the alleged 1/150 grain atropine sulphate tablets and a portion of the nitroglycerin tablets for the further reason that the statements "Guaranteed under the Food and Drugs Act July 30, 1906 Guaranty 7418," borne on the labels, were false and misleading, in that the said statements represented that the products conformed to the food and drugs act of June 30, 1906, whereas they did not.

On January 8, 1926, the defendants entered pleas of nolo contendere to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$150.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14052. Adulteration of scallops. U. S. v. Nathaniel R. Steelman. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 19682. I. S. No. 13639-v.)

On November 2, 1925, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against Nathaniel R. Steelman, trading as N. R. Steelman, at Oyster, Va., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or about March 13, 1925, from the State of Virginia into the State of New York, of a quantity of scallops which were adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that added water had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength and had been substituted in part for the said article. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that a valuable constituent of the article, to wit, scallop solids, had been in part abstracted.

On November 13, 1925, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14053. Adulteration of scallops. U. S. v. William T. Lawson. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$40. (F. & D. No. 19660. I. S. No. 17417-v.)

On September 24, 1925, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against William T. Lawson, trading as W. T. Lawson, at Quinby, Va., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or about March 7, 1925, from the State of Virginia into the District of Columbia, of a quantity of scallops which were adulterated.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a substance, to wit, water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength and had been substituted in part for scallops. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that a valuable constituent of the article, to wit, scallop solids, had been in part abstracted therefrom.

On November 13, 1925, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$40.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14054. Adulteration of oranges. U. S. v. 215 Boxes of Oranges. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20071. I. S. No. 14613-v. S. No. W-1707.)

On April 30, 1925, the United States attorney for the District of Colorado, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and con-