

coffee, for the further reason that the statement "Coffee," borne on the label, was false and misleading, in that the article contained chicory and an unidentified brown vitreous substance, and for the further reason that it was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On May 12, 1925, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of the court was entered, ordering that the product be confiscated and destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14064. Adulteration and misbranding of cheese. U. S. v. 15 Boxes of Cheese. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 19026. I. S. No. 19041-v. S. No. C-4488.)

On September 27, 1924, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 15 boxes of cheese, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Detroit, Mich., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Chicago Cheese & Farm Products Co., September 23, 1924, in interstate commerce into the State of Michigan, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: "Chicago Cheese & Farm Products Co. Chicago, Illinois Daisy Brand Dutch Cheese."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance, foreign fat, had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the designation "Cheese," borne on the label, was false and misleading and deceived the purchaser. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the statement "Cheese," borne on the label, was false and misleading, in that the product contained foreign fat and was an imitation of cheese.

On July 7, 1925, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14065. Adulteration of chestnuts. U. S. v. 143 Bags of Shelled Chestnuts. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20553. I. S. No. 8076-x. S. No. E-5537.)

On November 5, 1925, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 143 bags of shelled chestnuts, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by Elado Perez, from Coruna, Spain, January 3, 1925, and transported from a foreign country into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, putrid, or decomposed vegetable substance.

On January 23, 1926, Unanue & Lopez, New York, N. Y., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,200, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it be sorted so as to separate the good nuts from the bad and that the bad portion be denatured or destroyed.

R. W. DUNLAP, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14066. Adulteration and misbranding of maple sugar. U. S. v. 314 Pails of Maple Sugar. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and sale. (F. & D. No. 20132. I. S. No. 24883-v. S. No. E-5342.)

On June 19, 1925, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 314 pails of maple sugar, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by H. Waite & Son, from Enosburg Falls, Vt., on or about May 19, 1925, and trans-