N. J. 14151-14200]

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted essentially of a mixture of wheat shorts and brown sugar with traces of compounds of calcium and sulphur, and a phenolic substance.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that the statements "Bowman's Abortion Remedy—Directions for use of Bowman's Abortion Remedy," borne on the label, regarding the curative and therapeutic effect of the said article, were false and fraudulent, since it contained no ingredient or substance capable of producing the effects claimed.

On February 9, 1926, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. F. MARVIN, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

14184. Misbranding of tea. U. S. v. 415 Cartons and 107 Cartons of Tea. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20972. I. S. Nos. 10508-x, 10509-x. S. No. W-1932.)

On March 29, 1926, the United States attorney for the Western District of Washington, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 522 cartons of tea, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Seattle, Wash., alleging that the article had been shipped by Tea Bags Mfg. Co., from San Francisco, Calif., December 3, 1925, and transported from the State of California into the State of Washington, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. A portion of the article was labeled: (Carton) "100 Ind. Tea Bags "Extra Choice" Orange Pekoe And Pekoe Ceylon Black Tea D. Davies & Co. Seattle, Wash." The remainder of the said article was labeled in part: (Carton) "100 Ind. Tea Bags "Extra Choice" Natural Leaf Japan Green D. Davies & Co. Seattle, Wash."

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly

and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On March 31, 1926, Dan Davies, trading as D. Davies & Co., Seattle, Wash., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, conditioned in part that it be relabeled under the supervision of this department and that the weight be designated on the cartons.

C. F. MARVIN, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

14185. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 9 Cubes, et al., of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 20991. I. S. No. 1091-x. S. No. W-1925.)

On or about March 16, 1926, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 28 cubes of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at San Francisco, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Fernwood Dairy, from Portland, Oreg., March 6, 1926, and transported from the State of Oregon into the State of California, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: "Fernwood Dairy 15 Union Avenue, Portland, Oregon."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance deficient in milk fat had been substituted wholly or in part for the

said article.

On March 30, 1926, the Fernwood Dairy, Portland, Oreg., having appeared as claimant for the property and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,400, conditioned in part that it be made to conform with the law under the supervision of this department.

C. F. MARVIN, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.