

teration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part "Newton State Flower."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it contained an added poisonous ingredient, to wit, arsenic, which rendered it injurious to health.

On March 31, 1926, the C. L. Robinson Ice & Cold Storage Corp., Winchester, Va., having appeared as claimant for the property and having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it not be sold, except to a purchaser who would contract to peel the apples before using, nor otherwise disposed of until the excess of arsenic had been removed.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14194. Adulteration and misbranding of frozen eggs. U. S. v. 17 Cases of Frozen Eggs. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and sale. (F. & D. No. 20806. I. S. No. 12089-x. S. No. C-4942.)

On or about February 2, 1926, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 17 cases of frozen eggs, at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Minnesota Central Creameries, Inc., from New Ulm, Minn., November 7, 1925, and transported from the State of Minnesota into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: "Minnesota Central Creameries * * * New Ulm Minnesota."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal substance.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On or about March 26, 1926, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that any part of the product found by this department to be fit for food be sold by the United States marshal, as he deems advisable, and the remainder denatured and sold for technical purposes.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14195. Adulteration of canned cherries. U. S. v. 70 Cases of Cherries. Consent decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 19980. I. S. No. 16395-v. S. No. E-5272.)

On April 9, 1925, the United States attorney for the Western District of South Carolina, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 70 cases of cherries, at Greenville, S. C., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Edgett-Burnham Co., from Newark, N. Y., on or about November 3, 1924, and transported from the State of New York into the State of South Carolina, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Can) "Newark Brand Pitted Red Cherries In Juice Packed By Edgett-Burnham Company Newark, New York."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance.

On January 21, 1926, the Edgett-Burnham Co., Newark, N. Y., having intervened, admitted the allegations of the libel, paid the costs of the proceedings, and consented to the destruction of the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14196. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. Sugar Creek Creamery Co. Tried to the court. Judgment of guilty. Fine, \$50 and costs. (F. & D. No. 18988. I. S. No. 2359-v.)

On June 24, 1924, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the

Sugar Creek Creamery Co., a corporation, Danville, Ill., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or about February 21, 1924, from the State of Illinois into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that a product deficient in milk fat, in that it contained less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat, had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat as defined and prescribed by law.

On March 2, 1926, a jury having been waived, the case came on for trial before the court upon a statement of facts by each party. Judgment was entered by the court, finding the defendant guilty and assessing a fine of \$50 and costs.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14197. Adulteration of apples. U. S. v. 714 Boxes of Apples. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond.
(F. & D. No. 20935. S. No. E-5665.)

On or about March 18, 1926, the United States attorney for the Western District of Virginia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 714 boxes of apples, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Winchester, Va., alleging that the article had been shipped by the American Fruit Growers, Inc., from Wenatchee, Wash., and transported from the State of Washington into the State of Virginia, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: "Winesap Fancy Blue Goose."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it contained an added poisonous ingredient, to wit, arsenic, which rendered the said article injurious to health.

On March 31, 1926, the C. L. Robinson Ice & Cold Storage Corp., Winchester, Va., having appeared as claimant for the property and having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it not be sold, except to a purchaser who would contract to peel the apples before using, nor otherwise disposed of until the excess of arsenic had been removed.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

14198. Adulteration of apples. U. S. v. 756 Boxes of Apples. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond.
(F. & D. No. 20937. S. No. E-5667.)

On or about March 18, 1926, the United States attorney for the Western District of Virginia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 756 boxes of apples, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Winchester, Va., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Wenatchee District Cooperative Assoc., from Wenatchee, Wash., and transported from the State of Washington into the State of Virginia, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled: "Winesap Jim Hill."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it contained an added poisonous ingredient, to wit, arsenic, which rendered it injurious to health.

On March 31, 1926, the Winchester Cold Storage Co., Inc., Winchester, Va., having appeared as claimant for the property and having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, in conformity with section 10 of the act, conditioned in part that it not be sold, except to a purchaser who would contract to peel the apples before using, nor otherwise disposed of until the excess of arsenic had been removed.

C. F. MARVIN, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*