## 14311. Misbranding of cottonseed meal. U. S. v. Texas Refining Plea of guilty. Fine, \$150. (F. & D. No. 19685. I. S. No. 7400-v.)

On October 28, 1925, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Texas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Texas Refining Co., a corporation, Greenville, Tex., alleging shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or about January 2, 1925, from the State of Texas into the State of Wisconsin, of a quantity of cottonseed meal which was misbranded. The article was labeled in part: "43 Per Cent Protein Cottonseed Meal Prime Quality Manufactured by Texas Refining Company, Greenville, Texas, Guaranteed Analysis: Crude Protein not less than 43.00 Per Cent.

Analysis by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department of a sample of

he article showed that it contained 39.8 per cent of protein.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in the information for the reason hat the statements, to wit, "43 Per Cent Protein Cottonseed Meal" and 'Guaranteed Analysis: Crude Protein not less than 43.00 Per Cent," borne on he tags attached to the sacks containing the said article, were false and miseading, in that the said statements represented that the article was 43 per cent protein cottonseed meal and that it contained not less than 43 per cent of crude protein, and for the further reason that it was labeled as aforesaid so as to leceive and mislead the purchaser into the belief that it was 43 per cent proein cottonseed meal and contained not less than 43 per cent of crude protein, thereas it was not 43 per cent protein cottonseed meal, in that it contained ess than 43 per cent of crude protein.

On May 27, 1926, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf

f the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$150.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

## 4312. Adulteration and misbranding of egg substitute. U. S. v. 418 Pounds of Alleged Egg Substitute. Consent decree of forfeiture. (F. & D. No. 14869. I. S. Nos. 1122-t, 1123-t. S. No. C-2904.)

On May 18, 1921, the United States attorney for the District of Nebraska, cting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court f the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation f 418 pounds of alleged egg substitute, at Omaha, Nebr., alleging that the rticle had been shipped by the International Co. from Baltimore, Md., in two onsignments, on or about July 6 and December 28, 1920, respectively, and ansported from the State of Maryland into the State of Nebraska, and chargig adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The rticle was labeled: "Egg Substitute."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated within the meanig of section 7 of the act, paragraphs 1 and 2 under food, in that it was mixture of skimmed milk, corn starch, and sugar, colored with coal-tar dye. dulteration was further alleged in that the article was mixed and colored in

manner whereby inferiority was concealed.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the label bore the statement, Egg Substitute," which was false and misleading and deceived and misled ie purchaser, and for the further reason that the article was an imitation of id offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On December 3, 1925, the International Co., Baltimore, Md., having withawn its claim and all pleadings without admitting the charges of misbrandg or adulteration, but expressly denying the same, and having stated that e manufacture of the product covered by the libel had been discontinued and at the question of fact involved in this case would not be conclusive in any iture proceeding, judgment was entered, forfeiting the product to the Govnment and ordering that costs be paid by the claimant.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

## 313. Misbranding of San-Tox kidney and bladder pills. U. S. v. 40 Dozen Large Bottles and 35 Dozen Small Bottles of San-Tox Kidney and Bladder Pills. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 20732. S. No. E-5554.)

On January 4, 1926, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of ew York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Disct Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and conmnation of 40 dozen large bottles and 35 dozen small bottles of San-Tox kidy and bladder pills, remaining in the original and unbroken packages at ooklyn, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by the DePree Co.,