

strength, quality, and purity of the said articles were not declared on the containers thereof.

Adulteration of the morphine sulphate tablets, and the atropine sulphate tablets shipped October 27, 1924, into Massachusetts, was alleged for the reason that their strength and purity fell below the professed standard and quality under which they were sold, in that each of the morphine sulphate tablets was represented to contain 1/2 grain of morphine sulphate and each of the atropine sulphate tablets involved in the said shipment into Massachusetts was represented to contain 1/200 grain of atropine sulphate, whereas each of the morphine sulphate tablets contained less than 1/2 grain of morphine sulphate and each of the said atropine sulphate tablets shipped into Massachusetts contained more than 1/200 grain of atropine sulphate. Adulteration of the remaining shipment of atropine sulphate tablets was alleged for the reason that its strength and purity fell below the professed standard and quality under which it was sold, in that it was sold as tablets composed wholly of atropine sulphate, whereas the said tablets were composed in part of codeine.

Misbranding of the said tinctures was alleged for the reason that the statements, to wit, "Tincture * * * Nux Vomica U. S. P.," "Tincture * * * Stramonium U. S. P.," "Tincture * * * Belladonna Leaves U. S. P." and "Tincture * * * Aconite Root U. S. P.," borne on the respective labels, were false and misleading, in that the said statements represented that the articles conformed to the standards laid down in the United States Pharmacopoeia, whereas, in truth and in fact, they did not.

Misbranding of the morphine sulphate tablets, and the atropine sulphate tablets shipped into Massachusetts was alleged for the reason that the statements, "Tablets * * * Atropine Sulphate 1/200 Grain" and "Each tablet contains Morphine Sulphate 1/2 grain," borne on the respective labels, were false and misleading, in that the said statements represented that the tablets each contained 1/200 grain of atropine sulphate, or 1/2 grain of morphine sulphate, as the case might be, whereas the atropine sulphate tablets involved in the said shipment into Massachusetts each contained more than 1/200 grain of atropine sulphate, and the morphine sulphate tablets each contained less than 1/2 grain of morphine sulphate. Misbranding of the remaining shipment of the atropine sulphate tablets was alleged for the reason that the statement, to wit, "Tablets * * * Atropine Sulphate," borne on the label, was false and misleading, in that the said statement represented that the article consisted of tablets composed wholly of atropine sulphate, whereas it consisted of tablets composed in part of codeine, and for the further reason that the article contained codeine, a derivative of morphine, and the label failed to bear a statement of the quantity or proportion of codeine contained therein.

On November 1, 1926, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$2,000.

W. M. JARDINE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

14777. Misbranding of tomatoes. U. S. v. William B. Brewer. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. No. 19625. I. S. No. 18440-v.)

On May 8, 1925, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Mississippi, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against William B. Brewer, trading as W. B. Brewer, at Hazlehurst, Miss., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the food and drugs act as amended, on or about June 18, 1924, from the State of Mississippi into the State of Ohio, of a quantity of tomatoes in unlabeled crates which were misbranded.

It was alleged in the information that the article was misbranded, in that it was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On November 2, 1926, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

W. M. JARDINE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

14778. Misbranding of butter. U. S. v. Sugar Creek Creamery Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 19581. I. S. Nos. 16677-v, 16683-v, 18258-v.)

On May 29, 1925, the United States attorney for the Western District of Kentucky, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against the Sugar Creek Creamery Co., a corporation, trading at Louisville, Ky., alleging