1

Misbranding of assorted chocolates. U. S. v. 25½ Dozen and 44½ Dozen Boxes of Assorted Chocolates. Default decrees of condemnation, forfeiture, and sale. (F. & D. No. 21408. I. S. Nos. 12546-x, 12555-x. S. No. W-2049.)

On November 25 and December 11, 1926, respectively, the United States attor-for the District of Colorado, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agri-States for said district libels hing seizure and condemnation of 69% dozen boxes of assorted chocolates, rebing in the original unbroken packages at Denver, Colo., consigned by the offer Confectionery Co., Cambridge, Mass., alleging that the article had been copied in interstate commerce from Cambridge, Mass., into the State of Coloon or about October 14, 1926, and charging misbranding in violation of tood and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: (carton) 16 16. Alton Packages of Assorted Chocolates. Mfd. by Potter Confection-Co. Cambridge Mass.," (box) "The Alton Package of Chocolates. Half tid Net Weight. Man'f'd By Chanteclair Chocolate Co. Cambridge, Mass." Wisbranding of the article was alleged in the libels for the reason that the tement, "Half pound net weight," borne on the label, was false and mislead-tement, that pound net weight, borne on the label, was false and mislead-tement, that the purchaser, since the net contents of each of the es was less than ½ pound. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason the article was food in package form and failed to bear a plain and continuous statement of the quantity of the contents, since the quantity stated was

correct.

May 15, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments of demnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that

product be relabeled and sold by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

186. Adulteration of oranges. U. S. v. 360 Boxes of Oranges. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture entered. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 21706. I. S. No. 7816-x. S. No. E-6026.)

March 4, 1927, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, aging upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Supreme Court the District aforesaid, holding a district court, a libel praying seizure and demnation of 360 boxes of oranges, at Washington, D. C., alleging that the cle had been shipped from Blanton, Fla., February 23, 1927, and transported in the State of Florida into the District of Columbia, and charging adulteration violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part:

(Se) "J. E. Montgomery, Inc., Tampa, Florida."

Tampa, Florida."

Tampa that it consisted in the article by this department showed that it consisted in the article by the second showed that it consisted in the second showed showed the second showed showed the second showed showed the second showed showed

le or in part of frost-damaged fruit.

twas alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it consisted whole or in part of a decomposed vegetable substance.

April 1, 1927, J. E. Montgomery, Inc., Tampa, Fla., claimant, having taitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a ee, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was gred by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon ment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum \$150, conditioned in part that it not be sold or otherwise disposed of cony, to law.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

7. Adulteration of tomato puree. U. S. v. 45 Cases of Tomato Puree. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 21913. I. S. No. 13867-x. S. No. E-6132.)

May 11, 1927, the United States attorney for the Southern District of York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the rict Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure condemnation of 45 cases of tomato puree, remaining in the original bloken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been pped by the Tennent Products Co., from Freehold, N. J., on or about Janu-5, 1927, and transported from the State of New Jersey into the State of York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. article was labeled in part: "Tennent Brand Tomato Purce * bs. 8 Ozs. Net Weight Manufactured by Tennent Products Co., Inc. Free-N. J."

was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it conditions whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid vegetable substance.

On May 26, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

15168. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 30 Tubs, et al., of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 21940. I. S. Nos. 14987-134000 C. No. D. 2194 14988-x. S. No. E-6134.)

On May 11, 1927, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 57 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by Collier Bros., from Taylorville, Ill., in part April 27, 1927, and in part April 30, 1927, and transported from the State of Illinois into the State of New York and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a substance deficient in butterfat and containing excessive moisture had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce, lower, or injuriously affect its quality or strength, and had been substituted in whole or in part for the said article Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that a valuable constituent of the article, butterfat, had been in whole or in part abstracted.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was offered for sale

under the distinctive name of another article.

On May 17, 1927, Collier Bros., Taylorville, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,700, conditioned in part that it be reworked and reprocessed so as to contain at least 80 per cent of butterfat.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

15169. Adulteration and misbranding of maple sirup. U. S. v. 35 Gallon of Maple Sirup. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 21889. I. S. No. 16454-x.. S. No. E-6098.)

On April 30, 1927, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 35 gallons of maple sirup, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Boston, Mass., alleging that the article had been shipped by L. M. Rollins & Col from Bradford, N. H., March 30, 1927, and transported from the State of New Hampshire into the State of Massachusetts, and charging adulteration and mis branding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part "Pure Maple Syrup Made by L. M. Rollins & Co. Bradford, N. H."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a suf stance deficient in maple solids had been mixed and packed therewith so as reduce, lower, and injuriously affect its quality and strength, and had been sub-

stituted wholly or in part for the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement "Pure Mapie Syrup," borne on the label, was false and misleading and deceived and mislea the purchaser, for the further reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked of the outside of the package, and for the further reason that it was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On June 7, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that

the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

15170. Adulteration of tomato paste. U. S. v. 700 Tins and 10 Cases of Tomato Paste. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. Nos. 21489 to 21497, incl. I. S. Nos. 12031-x, 12036-12038-x, 12039-x, 12040-x. S. Nos. C-5281, C-5291.) I. S. Nos. 12031-x, 12036-x

On December 23, 1926, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizur