On May 6, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, and the United States marshal having been forced to sell the product because of its perishable nature, judgment of the court was entered ratifying said sale.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

15376. Adulteration of oranges and grapefruit. U. S. v. 1 Car of Orange and Grapefruit. Default order entered ratifying sale of products. (F. & D. No. 21853. I. S. Nos. 3864-x, 3865-x. S. No. C-5446.)

On March 25, 1927, the United States attorney for the Southern District o Mississippi, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in th District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure an condemnation of 1 car of oranges and grapefruit, at Jackson, Miss., allegin that the articles had been shipped by F. N. Hicks. from Thonotosassa, Fla., o or about March 19, 1927, and transported from the State of Florida into th State of Mississippi, and charging adulteration in violation of the food an

Examination of the articles by this department showed that they consiste

in whole or in part of frost-damaged fruit.

It was alleged in the libel that the said oranges and grapefruit were adulte ated, in that they consisted in whole or in part of decomposed vegetab substances.

On May 6, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, and th United States marshal having been forced to sell the products because of the perishable nature, judgment of the court was entered ratifying said sale.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

15377. Adulteration of canned cherries. U. S. v. 19 Cases of Canned Che rics. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destrution. (F. & D. No. 22070. I. S. No. 16856-x. S. No. 108.)

On September 26, 1927, the United States attorney for the District Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizu and condemnation of 19 cases of canned cherries, remaining in the origin unbroken packages at Boston, Mass., consigned about August 30, 1927, allegi that the article had been shipped by the Geneseo Jam Kitchen, Inc., of Genes N. Y., and transported from the State of New York into the State of Mas chusetts, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it co

sisted in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid vegetable substance.

On October 13, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgme of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the co that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agriculture

15378. Adulteration of molasses feed. U. S. v. Arcady Farms Milling
Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 21587. I. S. Nos. 8436 9392-x.)

On July 19, 1927, the United States attorney for the Western District Missouri, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in District Court of the United States for said district an information agai the Arcady Farms Milling Co., a corporation, North Kansas City, Mo., alleg shipment by said company, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or ab May 30, 1926, from the State of Missouri into the State of Kansas, and or about June 23, 1926, from the State of Missourl into the State of Iowa quantities of molasses feed which was adulterated. The article was lab in part: "Special 60% Molasses Feed Manufactured By Arcady Farms Mil Company Chicago, Ill., No. Kansas City, Mo., East St. Louis, Ill. Guarant Analysis Protein 09.0 Fat 04.0. Fibre not over 09.0."

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated, in a substance, to wit, a feed containing less than 9 per cent of protein, than 4 per cent of fat, and more than 9 per cent of fiber, had been mitted that the substance of the sub and packed therewith so as to reduce, lower, and injuriously affect its que and strength, and had been substituted in part for the said article.

On September 7, 1927, a plea of guilty to the information was entered behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

R. W. DUNLAP, Acting Secretary of Agricultur