from the State of Georgia into the State of Florida, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled and branded in part: "100 Pounds Second-Class Cottonseed Meal Guaranteed Analysis Ammonia 7%, Equivalent to Protein, 36%."

It was alleged in substance in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a cottonseed feed deficient in ammonia content had been mixed and packed

with and substituted in part for the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the said branding was false and misleading and deceptive in that the article did not contain 7 per cent of ammonia, nor was the ammonia content thereof equivalent to 36 per cent of protein.

On November 29, 1927, L. R. Rainey, Monticello, Fla., having appeared as claimant for the property and having paid the costs and executed a good and sufficient bond in accordance with law, it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

15979. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. Carl W. Schmidt (Faith Creamery Co.). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. No. 21591. I. S. Nos. 7200-x, 13473-x.)

On August 10, 1927, the United States attorney for the District of South Dakota, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against Carl W. Schmidt, trading as Faith Creamery Co., Faith, S. Dak., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or about August 18, 1926, from the State of South Dakota into the State of New York, of quantities of butter which was adulterated.

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated in that a product which contained less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat, as prescribed by the act of March 4, 1923, which the

said article purported to be.

On September 8, 1927, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

15980. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 8 Cubes, et al., of Butter. Consent decrees of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. Nos. 23075, 23078. I. S. Nos. 036, 037, 081. S. Nos. 1108, 1109.)

On August 10 and August 20, 1928, respectively, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels praying seizure and condemnation of 76 cubes of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at San Francisco, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Cudahy Packing Co., from Portland, Ore., in part July 28, 1928, and in part August 1, 1928, and transported from the State of Oregon into the State of California, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libels that the article was adulterated in that a substance deficient in butterfat had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article, and in that a valuable constituent, namely, butterfat, had been in

part abstracted.

On August 20, 1928, the Cudahy Packing Co., San Francisco, Calif., having appeared as claimant for the property and having consented to the entry of decrees, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs, and the execution of bonds totaling \$2,655, conditioned in part that it be made to conform with the law under the supervision of this department.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

15981. Misbranding of tomato catsup. U. S. v. 364 Cases, et al., of Tomato Catsup. Consent decrees adjudging product misbranded and ordering it released under bond. (F. & D. Nos. 22922, 22924. I. S. Nos. 01179 to 01184, incl. S. Nos. 987, 988.)

On July 31, 1928, the United States attorney for the Western District of Missouri, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels praying seizure and

condemnation of 516 cases of 14½-ounce bottles and 229 cases of 8-ounce bottles of tomato catsup at Kansas City, Mo., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Frazier Packing Co., from Elwood, Ind., in part on or about November 15, 1927, and in part on or about April 24, 1928, and had been transported from the State of Indiana into the State of Missouri, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: "Purity Brand (or "Frazier's Superfine" or "Frazier's Tomato Catsup"). The Frazier Packing Co., Elwood, Ind.;" (neck label on portion of bottles) "Absolutely pure, no preservative or artificial coloring."

It was alleged in the libels that the article was misbranded in that the statement, "Tomato Catsup," borne on the bottle and case labels, and the statement, "No preservative or artificial coloring," borne on the neck labels of a portion of the bottles, were false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser

when applied to an article containing artificial color.

On August 25, 1928, the Frazier Packing Co., Elwood, Ind., claimant, having consented to the entry of decrees of condemnation and having executed bonds totaling \$4,000, to insure the relabeling of the product, judgments were entered finding the product misbranded, and it was ordered by the court that the said product be released to the claimant, for the purpose of relabeling in conformity with the requirements of the law, upon payment of all costs.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

15982. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 4 Boxes of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 22858. I. S. No. 26393-x. S. No. 962.)

On July 3, 1928, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Supreme Court of the district aforesaid, holding a District Court, a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 4 boxes of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Washington, D. C., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Litchfield Creamery Co., from Litchfield, Minn., June 27, 1928, and transported from the State of Minnesota into the District of Columbia, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product deficient in milk fat had been substituted for butter, which the said article purported to be, for the further reason that a product which contained less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat, as prescribed by the act of March 4, 1923, and for the further reason that a valuable constituent of the article had been wholly or in part abstracted.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package, and for the further reason that the statement, "1 Lb. Net," was false and misleading and deceived and misled the

purchaser.

On July 20, 1928, the Litchfield Creamery Co., Litchfield, Minn., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$150, conditioned in part that it should not be sold or otherwise disposed of contrary to law, and it was further ordered by the court that the product be reconditioned to meet the requirements of the Federal food and drugs act.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

15983. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 22 Boxes of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 22867. I. S. No. 03357. S. No. 961.)

On July 9, 1928, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the Supreme Court of the district aforesaid, holding a District Court, a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 22 60-pound boxes of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Washington, D. C., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Markesan Creamery, Geo. C. Johnson, proprietor, from Markesan, Wis., June 26, 1928, and transported from the State of Wisconsin into the District of Columbia, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and