Adulteration was alleged in the libel with respect to a portion of the article for the reason that a mixture of corn and cottonseed oil had been substituted in part for the said article, and had been mixed and packed therewith so as to

reduce, lower, and injuriously affect its quality and strength.

Misbranding was alleged with respect to the said portion for the reason that the statements, "Messina Brand is a high grade golden corn oil," "Net Contents 98% of a gallon or 7½ lb. net," were false and misleading and deceived and misled purchasers, for the further reason that it was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package, and for the further reason that it was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

Adulteration was alleged with respect to the remainder of the article for the reason that cottonseed oil had been mixed and packed with and substituted

in part for the article.

Misbranding was alleged with respect to the remainder of the article for the reason that the statement "Messina Brand Extra Fine Oil," together with the design in Italian colors, borne on the label, were false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser, and for the further reason that it was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On August 2, 1928, A. Gash & Co. (Inc.), New York, N. Y., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libels and having consented to the entry of decrees, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of bonds totaling

\$300, conditioned in part that it be poured into barrels and labeled under the supervision of this department.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16049. Adulteration of oysters. U. S. v. Charles Neubert (Charles Neubert & Co.). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$100 and costs. (F. & D. No. 22559. I. S. Nos. 19310-x, 20595-x.)

On July 6, 1928, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against Charles Neubert, trading as Charles Neubert & Co., Baltimore, Md., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the food and drugs act, on or about November 21, 1927, from the State of Maryland, in part into the State of Illinois, and in part into the State of Pennsylvania, of quantities of oysters which were adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "Neubert's Oysters * * Chas. Neubert & Co., Baltimore, Md."

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated in that a substance, to wit, water, had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength and had been substituted in part for ovsters, which the article purported to be.

tuted in part for oysters, which the article purported to be.

On September 17, 1928, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the infor-

mation, and the court imposed a fine of \$100 and costs.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16050. Adulteration of frozen poultry. U. S. v. 1 Barrel of Frozen Poultry. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 22926. I. S. No. 03102. S. No. 992.)

On July 27, 1928, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 1 barrel of frozen poultry, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Philadelphia, Pa., consigned by Lathan & Sons Packing Co., Yates Center, Kans., alleging that the article had been shipped from Yates Center, Kans., on or about July 23, 1928, and transported from the State of Kansas into the State of Pennsylvania, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance, in that it consisted in part of a portion of an animal unfit for food, and in that it was the product of a diseased animal.

On August 20, 1928, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.