On July 28, 1927, the Northern Creamery Co., Great Falls, Mont., having appeared as claimant for the property and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$500, conditioned in part that it be made to conform with the law under the supervision of this department.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16056. Adulteration of frozen poultry. U. S. v. 1 Barrel of Frozen Poultry. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 23096. I. S. No. 03051. S. No. 1191.)

On September 21, 1928, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 1 barrel of frozen poultry, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by Vilas & Co., from Storm Lake, Iowa, on or about September 8, 1928, and had been transported from the State of Iowa into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a filthy, decomposed, or putrid animal substance, in that it consisted in whole or in part of a portion of an animal unfit for food, and in that it was

the product of a diseased animal.

On October 8, 1928, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16057. Adulteration and alleged misbranding of cocoa. U. S. v. 154 Barrels of Cocoa. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 22796. I. S. No. 22557-x.

On May 24, 1928, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 154 barrels of cocoa, remaining in the original unbroken packages at San Francisco, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., Into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., Into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., Into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., Into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., Into the State of California, Deceminterstate commerce from Portland, Oreg., Oreg. ber 22, 1927, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: "American Brand Pure Cocoa Powder E. & A. Opler, Inc. Chicago."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a substance, cocoa shell, had been mixed and packed with and substituted wholly or in part

for the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement "Pure Cocoa Powder," borne on the label, was false and misleading and deceived and misled

the purchaser. On September 5, 1928, E. & A. Opler (Inc.), Chicago, Ill., having appeared as claimant for the property and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment was entered finding the product adulterated and ordering its condemnation and forfeiture, and it was further ordered by the court that the said product be released to the claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,540, conditioned in part that it be made to conform with the Federal food and drugs act under the supervision of this department. ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16058. Adulteration of frozen poultry. U. S. v. 3 Barrels of Frozen Poultry. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 23016. I. S. No. 03006. S. No. 1069.)

On August 25, 1928, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 3 barrels of frozen poultry, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by T. Jensen & Sons, from Chanute, Kans., on or about August 3, 1928, and had been transported from the State of Kansas into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.