demnation of 118 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Boston, Mass., consigned about March 6, 1929, alleging that the article had been shipped by the North American Creamery Co., Paynesville, Minn., and transported from the State of Minnesota into the State of Massachusetts, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a substance containing less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat had been substituted in whole or in part for butter, which the said article purported to be, the act of Congress approved March 4, 1923, providing that butter contain not less than

80 per cent by weight of milk fat.

On March 22, 1929, the North American Creamery Co., having appeared as claimant for the property and having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the deposit of collateral in the sum of \$2,500 in lieu of bond, conditioned in part that it be reworked under the supervision of this department.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16284. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 25 Cases of Butter. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released upon deposit of collateral. (F. & D. No. 23611. I. S. No. 05793. S. No. 1797.)

On March 13, 1929, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 25 cases of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Boston, Mass., consigned about February 28, 1929, alleging that the article had been shipped by Sherman White & Co., Kendall-ville, Ind., and transported from the State of Indiana into the State of Massachusetts, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a substance containing less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat had been substituted in whole or in part for butter, which the said article purported to be, the act of Congress approved March 4, 1923, providing that butter shall

contain not less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat.

On March 19, 1929, Sherman White & Co., Fort Wayne, Ind., having appeared as claimant for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the deposit of \$400 in lieu of bond, conditioned that it be reworked under the supervision of this department so that it contain at least 80 per cent of butterfat.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16285. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 225 Boxes of Butter. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released upon deposit of collateral. (F. & D. No. 23600. I. S. No. 05838. S. No. 1817.)

On March 20, 1929, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 225 boxes of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Boston, Mass., consigned about March 8, 1929, alleging that the article had been shipped by the Pioneer Creamery Co., Galesburg, Ill., and transported from the State of Illinois into the State of Massachusetts, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a substance containing less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat had been substituted in whole or in part for butter, which the said article purported to be, the act of Congress approved March 4, 1923, providing that butter shall con-

tain not less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat.

On March 22, 1929, the Holland Butter Co., Boston, Mass., having appeared as claimant for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the deposit of \$3,000 in lieu of bond in that sum, conditioned in part that it be reworked under the supervision of this department so that it contain at least 80 per cent of butterfat.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.