It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a filthy animal substance, and in that it consisted in part of a decomposed substance.

On March 19, 1929, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court

that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16339. Adulteration of dressed chickens. U. S. v. 1 Barrel of Dressed Chickens. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 23049. I. S. No. 01944. S. No. 1139.)

On September 5, 1928, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 1 barrel of dressed chickens at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by G. A. Cohenour, from Clinton, Mo., July 27, 1928, and transported from the State of Missouri into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a decomposed and filthy animal substance and in that it consisted

in part of a portion of an animal unfit for food.

On March 19, 1929, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16340. Adulteration of walnut meats. U. S. v. 5 Cases, et al., of Walnut Meats. Decrees entered ordering product released under bond. (F. & D. Nos. 23477, 23507, 23520, 23628, 23629. I. S. Nos. 0530, 0545, 0546, 014430, 014433. S. Nos. 1694, 1738, 1760, 1867, 1868.)

On February 26, March 8, March 13, and April 17, 1929, respectively, the United States attorney for the District of Utah, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels praying seizure and condemnation of 15 cases and 30 cartons of walnut meats, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Salt Lake City, Utah, alleging that the article had been shipped by the Southern California Supply Co., from Los Angeles, Calif., in various consignments, on or about February 2, February 5, February 15, February 19, and February 25, 1929, respectively, and transported from the State of California into the State of Utah, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The cases were labeled in part: "Invincible (or "Invincible Brand") Distributed by the Southern California Supply Co., Inc. (or "Southern California Supply Company in") Los Angeles, Calif. Shelled California Walnuts." The cartons were labeled in part: "Southern California Supply Co."

It was alleged in the libels that the article was adulterated in that it consisted wholly or in part of a filthy and putrid vegetable substance, with respect to a portion of the product, and of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid vegetable

substance, with respect to the remainder thereof.

On May 6, 1929, the Southern California Supply Co., Los Angeles, Calif., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libels, and having paid costs and filed bonds totaling \$1,775, it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant to be salvaged and sorted under the supervision of this department.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16341. Misbranding of beef scrap. U. S. v. 15 Bags of Beef Scrap. Decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 23556. I. S. No. 012407. S. No. 1804.)

On March 23, 1929, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 15 bags of beef scrap, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Hyattsville, Md., alleging that the article had been shipped by Herbert Bryant's Son, from Alexandria, Va., on or about February 27, 1929, and transported from the State of Virginia into the State of Maryland, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: "Beef Scrap Guaranteed Analysis Protein 50.00% * * * Manufactured by Herbert Bryant's Son, Alexandria, Va."