It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that excessive water had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength, in that a substance deficient in milk fat and high in moisture had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article, in that a valuable constituent, butterfat, had been in part abstracted from the said article, and in that it contained less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

On August 16, 1929, the Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co., Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a good and sufficient bond, conditioned in part that it be reprocessed, under the supervision of this department, so that it contain not less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

ARTHUR M. HYDE. Secretary of Agriculture.

16716. Adulteration of dressed chickens. U. S. v. 1 Barrel of Dressed Chickens. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 23001. I. S. No. 01939. S. No. 1088.)

On August 18, 1928, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 1 barrel of dressed chickens, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Dickerson Produce Co., from Knoxville, Iowa, August 13, 1928, and transported from the State of Iowa into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: "From Dickerson Produce Company, Knoxville, Iowa."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it

consisted in part of a filthy and putrid substance. Adulteration was alleged for the further reason that the article consisted in part of a decomposed substance, in that the said chickens were emaciated and green, and the infected area extended into the flesh and showed indications of incipient decomposition and of being insufficiently bled.

On March 19, 1929, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16717. Adulteration and alleged misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 13 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 23944. I. S. No. 010218.

On July 26, 1929, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 13 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Abrams Creamery Co., from Coleman, Wis., July 16, 1929, and transported from the State of Wisconsin into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs acts.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that excessive water had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength, in that a substance deficient in butterfat had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce or lower or injuriously affect its quality or strength, and had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article, and in that the said article did not comply with the standard established by Congress.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On August 6, 1929, Gallagher Bros., Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment was entered finding the product adulterated and ordering its condemnation and forfeiture, and it was further ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a good and sufficient bond, conditioned in part that it be reprocessed, under the supervision of this department, so that it contain not less than 80 per cent of butterfat.