On August 6, 1929, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16931. Adulteration and misbranding of Dakol (nasal cream). U. S. v. 9½ Dozen Packages of Dakol. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture and destruction. (F. & D. No. 23991. I. S. No. 011609 S. No. 2266.)

On September 6, 1929, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 972 dozen packages of Dakol (nasal cream), remaining in the original unbroken packages at Boston, Mass., alleging that the article had been shipped by the New Haven Laboratories (Inc.), from New Haven, Conn., July 1, 1929, and transported from the State of Connecticut into the State of Massachusetts, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by this department showed that it consisted essentially of petrolatum, chloramine T (0.25 per cent), volatile oils including menthol, and a small amount of a saponifiable fat. Bacteriological

examination showed that the product was not antiseptic.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it was sold under the following standard of strength, (tube) "Antiseptic," whereas

the strength of said article fell below such professed standard.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statements, (tube) "Antiseptic" and (carton containing tube) "Coat tip on tube with Dakol-to Anti-septicize," were false and misleading. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the following statements regarding the curative or therapeutic effects of the article, borne on the tube and carton, were false and fraudulent in that the said article contained no ingredients or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed: (Tube) "For * * * relief of * * * Catarrh, * * * Bronchitis, Whooping Cough, Hay Fever, Sore Throat, Asthma. * * * To Prevent nose and throat infection. Squeeze * * * Dakol on * * * finger * * * into each nostril;" (carton) "For the relief of * * * Bronchitis, Catarrh, Whooping Cough, Hay Fever of contactions dispersed. Fever, Sore Throat and Asthma. For the prevention of contagious diseases contracted through nose and throat. * * * Insert tip * * * into nos-* pinch tube and draw deep, long breath through nose until Dakol reaches the throat."

On November 5, 1929, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16932. Adulteration and misbranding of ether. U. S. v. One Hundred and Ninety ¼-Pound Cans of Ether. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 24234. I. S. No. 03930. S. No. 2482.)

On November 9, 1929, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Penusylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of one hundred and ninety 4-pound cans of ether, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Bristol, Pa., consigned by the Bayway Terminal (for the Harold Surgical Corporation) from Elizabeth, N. J., alleging that the article had been shipped from Elizabeth, N. J., on or about September 10, 1929, and transported from the State of New Jersey into the State of Pennsylvania, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act.

Analysis of a sample of the article by this department showed that the ether

contained aldehyde and excess acidity.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it differed from the standard of purity prescribed by the United States Pharmacopoeia, and its own standard was stated on the label. (The adulteration charges recounmended by this department were: The article was sold under a name recognized in the United States Pharmacopoeia and differed from the standard of purity as prescribed by that authority; its own standard was not stated on the

label; the article fell below the professed standard under which it was sold, namely, "It is superior in vital respects to the ether of the U. S. P.")

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the following statements appearing on the said cans, "The best that can be made for anesthesia" and "It is superior in vital respects to the ether of the U. S. P.," were false and misleading.

On December 10, 1929, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16933. Adulteration and misbranding of tablets Bacillus bulgaricus. U. S. v. 11/2 Dozen Packages of Tablets Bacillus Bulgaricus. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 23331. I. S. No. 05069. S. No. 1458.)

On January 15, 1929, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 1½ dozen packages of tablets Bacillus bulgaricus at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by Fairchild Bros. & Foster, from New York, N. Y., December 8, 1928, and transported from the State of New York into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

Examination of samples of the article by this department showed that the tablets contained not more than 6,500 lactobacilli per tablet and were grossly

contaminated with spore-forming bacteria.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that its strength fell below the professed standard under which it was sold, in that it was sold under the following standard, "Tablet of the Bacillus Bulgaricus," and "Contains the true bacillus Bulgaricus * * * preserved in a stable, potent form," which standard represented that each tablet of the article contained organisms in sufficient number to be efficacious in the treatment of disease, or the prevention thereof, whereas it failed to contain organisms in sufficient numbers per tablet to be efficacious in the treatment of disease or the prevention thereof.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the following statements regarding the curative or therapeutic effects of the said article, borne on the containers and in the accompanying circular, (carton) "Tablet of the Bacillus Bulgaricus. Contains the true bacillus Bulgaricus * * * preserved in a stable potent form," (circular) "Tablet of the Bacillus Bulgaricus. Contains the true bacillus Bulgaricus * * * conserved in a stable form. * * * It is rigidly standardized, potency guaranteed for the time stamped upon the label," and (glass vials) "Tablet of the Bacillus Bulgaricus," were false and fraudulent. The charge recommended by this department was that the article was misbranded in violation of section 8 of the act, general paragraph, which provides that all drugs, the package or label of which bear any statement, design, or device regarding the article, or the ingredients or substances contained therein, which are false or misleading in any particular, shall be deemed misbranded within the meaning of the act.

On April 18, 1929, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

16934. Misbranding of Allenrhu. U. S. v. 138 Bottles of Allenrhu. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 23342. S. No. 1459.)

On or about January 28, 1929, the United States attorney for the District of Oregon, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 138 bottles of Allenrhu, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Portland, Oreg., alleging that the article had been shipped by. Theo. Erlin & Co., from San Francisco, Calif., on or about June 2, 1928, and transported from the State of California into the State of Oregon, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by this department showed that it consisted essentially of sodium sulphate, sodium phosphate, small amounts of sodium salicylate and free acid, and water flavored with licorice and methyl

salicylate.