

ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of bonds totaling \$1,173, conditioned in part that it be sorted under the supervision of this department to separate the good portion from the bad portion, and not to be disposed of contrary to law.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

**18115. Adulteration of canned stringless beans. U. S. v. 98 Cases, et al., of Stringless Beans. Default decrees of destruction entered.** (F. & D. Nos. 25163, 25164, 25181, 25186, 25187, 25188, 25189, 25190. I. S. Nos. 7014, 7016, 7020, 7021, 7023, 7024, 7025, 7026. S. Nos. 3428, 3429, 3451, 3452, 3456, 3457, 3458, 3459.)

Samples of canned stringless beans from the shipments herein described having been found to be sour and decomposed—showing evidence of being under-processed—the Secretary of Agriculture reported the matter to the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Kentucky.

On September 20 and October 4, 1930, the United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid libels praying seizure and condemnation of 421 cases of canned stringless beans, remaining in the original packages in various lots at Middlesboro, Harlan, Corbin, Baxter, Barbourville, London, and Williamsburg, Ky., consigned by Henley Runions, New Tazewell, Tenn., between the dates of June 30, 1930 and July 19, 1930, alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce from New Tazewell, Tenn., into the State of Kentucky, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (Can) "Runions Best Brand Stringless Beans \* \* \* Packed by Henley Runions, New Tazewell, Tenn."

It was alleged in substance in the libels that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in part of a decomposed vegetable substance.

On April 6, 1931, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments were entered finding the product adulterated and ordering that it be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

**18116. Adulteration of dressed poultry. U. S. v. 31 Boxes of Dressed Poultry. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction.** (F. & D. No. 25946. I. S. No. 1104. S. No. 4203.)

Samples of dressed poultry from the shipment herein described having been found to be decomposed, the Secretary of Agriculture reported the matter to the United States attorney for the Northern District of California.

On February 24, 1931, the United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 31 boxes of dressed poultry, remaining in the original unbroken packages at San Francisco, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped by the F. J. Kwapil Co., from Seattle, Wash., on or about February 9, 1931, and had been transported from the State of Washington into the State of California, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it consisted wholly or partly of a decomposed animal substance.

On March 25, 1931, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

**18117. Misbranding of fresh crab meat. U. S. v. 70 Cans of Fresh Crab Meat. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction.** (F. & D. No. 25858. I. S. No. 8550. S. No. 4096.)

Samples of canned fresh crab meat from the shipment herein described having been found short weight, the Secretary of Agriculture reported the matter to the United States attorney for the Southern District of Alabama.

On February 4, 1931, the United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 70 cans of fresh crab meat, remaining in the original packages at Mobile, Ala., alleging that the article had been shipped by the C. C. Co., Biloxi, Miss., January 26, 1931, and had been transported from the State of Mississippi into the State of Alabama, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: (Can) "Contents Crab Meat 1 lb. net;" (tag on shipping case) "From C. C. Co. Biloxi, Miss."