It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the following statements appearing in the circular regarding the curative or therapeutic effects of the article were false and fraudulent, since it contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed: "Prophylactic \* \* \* It is highly recommended for the treatment of leucorrhea ('whites'), cervicitis, vaginitis, catarrhal inflammations and other vaginal conditions associated with disagreeable discharges, whether specific or not. \* \* \* producing a complete as well as thorough antisepsis of the organ. \* \* \* In leucorrhea, etc. \* \* \* as a prophylactic against infection, specific or otherwise."

On January 4, 1932, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

## 19360. Adulteration and misbranding of Glyco-tan-phene. U. S. v. 22 Bottles of Glyco-tan-phene. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. S. No. 5572.)

Examination of a drug product, known as Glyco-tan-phene, from the shipment herein described showed that the labeling bore statements representing that the article possessed antiseptic, curative, and therapeutic properties which in fact it did not possess.

On December 21, 1931, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Ohio, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 22 bottles of Glyco-tan-phene at Cincinnati, Ohio, consigned by the Hagedon Chemical Co., from Indianapolis, Ind., alleging that the article had been transported from the State of Indiana into the State of Ohio, on or about October 17, 1931, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended.

Analysis of a sample of the article by this department showed that it consisted essentially of small proportions of phenol, tannin, and menthol, and glycerin and water, colored with a brown dye. Bacteriological examination showed that the article was not antiseptic.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it was sold under its own standard of strength, to wit, antiseptic, when in truth and in fact the strength of said drug fell below such professed standard in that it was not antiseptic.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement on the label, "An Antiseptic," was false and misleading. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the following statements appearing in the labeling, regarding the curative or therapeutic effects of the article, were false and fraudulent, since it contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed: (Bottle label) "Indicated in the treatment of Tonsilitis, Pharyngitis, Uvulitis, Pyorrhea, Sore and inflamed conditions of the Throat and Mouth."

On January 29, 1932, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

## 19361. Misbranding of Phosphorein. U. S. v. 3½ Dozen Bottles of Phosphorein. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. No. 26202. I. S. No. 28254. S. No. 4480.)

Examination of the drug product Phosphorcin, involved in this action, showed that the article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing certain curative and therapeutic effects claimed for it in the circular shipped with the said article.

On April 10, 1931, the United States attorney for the Western District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of three and one-third dozen bottles of Phosphorcin, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Pittsburgh, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped by Eimer & Amend, from New York, N. Y., in part on or about November 15, 1930, and in part on or about January 23, 1931, and had been transported from the State of New York into the State