It was alleged in the libels that the article was adulterated in that it

consisted in whole or in part of a filthy animal substance.

On September 23, 1932, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. G. TUGWELL. Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

20181. Adulteration of butter. U.S. v. 57 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond to be reworked. (F. & D. no. 28888. Sample no. 12270-A.)

This case involved the shipment of a quantity of butter, samples of which were found to contain less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat, the standard

for butter prescribed by Congress.

On August 19, 1932, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 57 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N.Y., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about August 12, 1932, by Pettibone Creamery Co., Pettibone, N.Dak., from Duluth, Minn., to New York, N.Y., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 percent

of milk fat as provided by the act of March 4, 1923.

Joseph J. Herold, New York, N.Y., interposed a claim for the property as agent for the Pettibone Creamery Co., Pettibone, N.Dak., and admitted the allegations of the libel, consented to the entry of a decree, and agreed that the product be reconditioned so that it contain at least 80 percent of butterfat. On September 12, 1932, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, conditioned in part that it be reworked so that it comply with the Federal Food and Drugs Act and all other laws.

R. G. TUGWELL, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

20182. Adulteration of butter. U.S. v. 60 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond to be reworked. (F. & D. no. 28906. Sample nos. 12361-A, 12362-A, 12363-A.)

This action was based on the interstate shipment of a quantity of butter, samples of which were found to contain less than 80 percent of milk fat, the

standard prescribed by Congress.

On August 29, 1932, the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 60 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N.Y., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about August 19, 1932, by David Cole Creamery Co., from Omaha, Nebr., to New York City, N.Y., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 percent

of milk fat as provided by act of March 4, 1923.

Courtney L. Poole, New York, N.Y., interposed a claim for the property as agent for the David Cole Creamery Co., Omaha, Nebr., admitted the allegations of the libel, consented to the entry of a decree, and agreed that the product be reconditioned so that it contain at least 80 percent of butterfat. On September 1, 1932, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,200, conditioned in part that it be reworked so that it comply with the Federal Food and Drugs Act and all other laws.

R. G. TUGWELL, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.