decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant for segregation and destruction of the cans containing bad salmon. The decree provided that the product might be shipped to Seattle, Wash., for segregation; and ordered that claimant pay all costs connected therewith, also court costs; and required execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000 to insure compliance with its terms.

R. G. TUGWELL, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

20428. Adulteration of cauliflower. U. S. v. 26 Crates of Cauliflower. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. no. 29226. Sample nos. 13320-A, 13321-A.)

This action involved an interstate shipment of cauliflower that was found to bear arsenic and lead in amounts which might have rendered the article

injurious to health.

On or about October 14, 1932, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Texas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 26 crates of cauliflower, remaining in the original packages at Houston, Tex., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about October 2, 1932, by the Hartner Produce Co., from Denver, Colo., to Houston, Tex., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it contained added poisonous and deleterious ingredients, to wit, arsenic and lead,

which ingredients might have rendered it injurious to health.

On November 1, 1932, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. G. Tugwell, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

20429. Adulteration of cauliflower. U. S. v. 89 Crates, et al., of Cauliflower. Default decrees of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. nos. 29228, 29229. Sample nos. 21103-A, 21105-A.)

These actions involved interstate shipments of cauliflower that was found to bear arsenic in an amount which might have rendered the article injurious to health.

On October 21, 1932, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid libels praying seizure and condemnation of 139 crates of cauliflower, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Philadelphia, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about October 20, 1932, by Ross M. Case, from River Head, Long Island, N.Y., to Philadelphia, Pa., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libels that the article was adulterated in that it con-

tained an added poisonous or deleterious ingredient, arsenic.

On November 18, 1932, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. G. Tugwell, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

20430. Adulteration of cauliflower. U. S. v. 150 Crates of Cauliflower. Consent decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. no. 29238. Sample no. 16733-A.)

This action involved an interstate shipment of cauliflower that was found to bear arsenic in an amount which might have rendered the article injurious to health.

On October 17, 1932, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Florida, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 150 crates of cauliflower at Tampa, Fla., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about October 8, 1932, by the Western Vegetable Distributors, from Denver, Colo. to Tampa, Fla., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it contained an added poisonous and deleterious ingredient, to wit, arsenic, which

might have rendered such product injurious to health.

On October 19, 1932, the consignor and the consignee having agreed that the libel was well taken and that they would not contest the forfeiture of the goods, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. G. TUGWELL, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

20431. Adulteration of cauliflower. U. S. v. 38 Crates of Cauliflower. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. no. 29215. Sample no. 20393-A.)

This action involved an interstate shipment of cauliflower that was found to bear arsenic in an amount which might have rendered the article injurious to

health

On October 20, 1932, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 38 crates of cauliflower, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Philadelphia, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about October 19, 1932, by Edward Pryzlak, from Calverton, Long Island, N.Y., to Philadelphia, Pa., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it con-

tained an added poisonous or deleterious ingredient, to wit, arsenic.

On November 18, 1932, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. G. Tugwell, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

20432. Adulteration of cauliflower. U. S. v. 395 Crates of Cauliflower. Consent decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. no. 29213. Sample no. 11749-A.)

This action involved an interstate shipment of cauliflower that was found to bear arsenic and lead in amounts which might have rendered it injurious to

health.

On October 21, 1932, the United States attorney for the Western District of Pennsylvania, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 395 crates of cauliflower, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Pittsburgh, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about October 19, 1932, by the Long Island Produce & Fertilizer Co., from Riverhead, Long Island, N.Y., to Pittsburgh, Pa., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that it contained added poisonous or deleterious ingredients, lead and arsenic, which might

have rendered it harmful to health.

On October 22, 1932, the Long Island Produce & Fertilizer Co., having consented to the destruction of the goods, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

R. G. TUGWELL, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

20433. Adulteration of canned salmon. U.S. v. Ingolfur Iwersen (Iwersen Packing Co.). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. no. 28157. I.S. no. 22364.)

This action was based on the shipment of a quantity of canned salmon,

samples of which were found to be decomposed.

On November 1, 1932, the United States attorney for the Western District of Washington, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid an information against Ingolfur Iwersen, trading as Iwersen Packing Co. and having a place of business at Point Roberts, Wash., alleging shipment by said defendant in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about August 4, 1931, from the Territory of Alaska into the State of Washington, of a quantity of canned salmon which was adulterated.

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated in that

it consisted in part of a decomposed animal substance.

On November 22, 1932, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

R. G. Tugwell, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.