trading as the Groveport Creamery Co., Groveport, Ohio, alleging shipment by said defendant in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about February 3 and February 10, 1932, from the State of Ohio into the State of Pennsylvania,

of quantities of butter that was adulterated.

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which must contain not less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat as required by the act of March 4, 1923, which the article purported to be.

On February 8, 1933, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the informa-

tion, and the court imposed a fine of \$10.

R. G. Tugwell, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

:20818. Adulteration and misbranding of canned frozen eggs. U. S. v. Kraft-Phenix Cheese Corporation. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$800. (F. & D. no. 27488. I. S. nos. 20420 to 20424, incl., 30009, 30010, 30011.)

This action was based on the interstate shipment of several carload lots of frozen eggs, labeled "Whole Eggs", which consisted in part of added egg whites. Samples taken from certain of the lots were found to be decomposed.

On June 18, 1932, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Texas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States an information against the Kraft-Phenix Cheese Corporation, trading at Dallas, Tex., alleging shipment by said company in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, in part on or about February 4, 1931, and in part on or about February 5, 1931, from the State of Texas into the State of New Jersey, of quantities of canned frozen eggs that were adulterated and misbranded. The article was labeled in part: (Tag) "Kraft-Phenix Cheese Corporation Egg Division Morgan Warehouse Dallas, Texas, Whole Eggs"; (cover) "Whole Eggs."

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated, in that added egg whites had been substituted in part for whole eggs, which the article purported to be. Adulteration was alleged with respect to a portion of the article for the reason that it consisted in whole and in part of a filthy, decom-

posed, and putrid animal substance.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the statement "whole eggs", borne on the tag, was false and misleading, and for the further reason that the article was labeled as aforesaid so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser, since it did not consist of whole eggs but did consist in part of added egg whites.

On February 18, 1933, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$800.

R. G. TUGWELL, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

20819. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 16 Cubes of Butter. Product ordered released under bond. (F. & D. no. 29262. Sample no. 14505-A.)

This case involved an interstate shipment of butter, samples of which were found to contain less than 80 percent by weight of milk fat, the standard for

butter prescribed by Congress.

On October 25, 1932, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 16 cubes of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at San Francisco, Calif., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about May 31, 1932, by the Mount Angel Cooperative Creamery, from Portland, Oreg., to San Francisco, Calif., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 percent of butterfat had been substituted for the said

article.

On February 7, 1933, the Purity Stores, Ltd., San Francisco, Calif., having appeared as claimant for the property, judgment was entered finding that the allegations of the libel were true, and ordering that the product be released to the claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$300, conditioned that it be made to comply with the law under the supervision of this Department.

R. G. TUGWELL, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.