of the contents was not complied with, since the statement of weight was incorrect.

On July 8, 1933, the United States attorney for the District of Oregon, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against Frye & Co., a corporation, trading at Portland, Oreg., alleging shipment by said company in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about May 24, 1932, from the State of Oregon into the State of Washington, of a quantity of butter which was misbranded. The article was labeled in part: (Package) "Weight One Pound."

It was alleged in the information that the article was misbranded in that the statement, "Weight One Pound", borne on the label, was false and misleading and for the further reason that the article was labeled so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser, since the packages contained less than 1 pound of butter. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was food in package form and the quantity of the contents was not plainly and conspicuously marked on the outside of the package.

On July 8, 1933, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf

of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

M. L. WILSON, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

21320. Adulteration of canned salmon. U. S. v. North Coast Packing Company. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50. (F. & D. no. 27551. I.S. nos. 22360 to 22363, incl.)

This case involved interstate shipments of canned salmon, samples of which

were found to be tainted or stale.

On October 31, 1932, the United States attorney for the Western District of Washington, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against the North Coast Packing Co., a corporation, Seattle, Wash., alleging shipments by said company in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about July 30 and August 12, 1931, from the Territory of Alaska into the State of Washington, of quantities of canned salmon that was adulterated.

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in whole and in part of a filthy and decomposed and putrid animal

On July 10, 1933, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

M. L. Wilson, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

21321. Adulteration and misbranding of Phrosto Lemon & Lime Sirup, Phrosto Orange-All, and Phrosto Fruit Punch. U. S. v. Samuel C. Clayton. Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. no. 28160. I.S. nos. 38157, 38158, 38161.)

This case was based on an interstate shipment of products represented to be lemon and lime, and orange, fruit juice flavored sirups, which consisted of sirups containing small amounts of fruit juices, with the flavor derived mainly from essential oils; also of a shipment of a product called, "Fruit Punch", which consisted of an artificially flavored imitation fruit sirup containing added

benzaldehyde.

On March 18, 1933, the United States attorney for the District of Massachusetts, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against Samuel C. Clayton, Boston, Mass., alleging shipment by said defendant in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about April 29, 1931, and July 22, 1931, from the State of Massachusetts into the State of Connecticut, of quantities of fruit sirups which were adulterated and misbranded. The articles were labeled in part: (Cases) "Lem-Lime Phrosto Fruit Juice Syrup", "Orange Phrosto Fruit Juice Syrup", "F. Punch Fruit Juice Syrup", (jugs) "Phrosto Lemon & Lime [or "Orange-All" or "Fruit Punch"] A Pure Fruit Juice Flavored Syrup. \* \* \* Manufactured By S. C. Clayton Co., Boston, Mass."

Adulteration of the lemon and lime and the orange products was alleged in the information for the reason that substances, essential oil-flavored sirups, deficient in fruit juices, had been substituted for pure lemon and lime, and orange, fruit juice flavored sirups, which the articles purported to be. Adulteration of the fruit punch was alleged for the reason that an artificially flavored imitation fruit sirup had been substituted for fruit punch, a pure fruit juice flavored sirup, which the article purported to be. Adulteration of the fruit punch was