

On August 12, 1933, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed. On August 21, 1933, the decree was modified to permit the marshal to deliver all portions of the peaches found in good condition to the veterans' hospital.

M. L. WILSON, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**21386. Adulteration of tullibeas. U. S. v. 126 Boxes and 69 Boxes of Tullibeas. Default decrees of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction.** (F. & D. nos. 29697, 29698. Sample nos. 26425-A, 26426-A.)

These cases involved shipments of tullibeas which were found to be infested with worms.

On December 30, 1932, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court libels praying seizure and condemnation of 195 boxes of tullibeas at Baltimore, Md., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce, in part on or about October 15, 1932, and in part on or about November 13, 1932, by Booth Fisheries Co., from Warroad, Minn., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

It was alleged in the libels that the article was adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in part of a filthy animal substance, and that it consisted of portions of animals unfit for food.

On August 3, 1933, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

M. L. WILSON, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**21387. Adulteration of apples. U. S. v. Pacific Fruit & Produce Co. Plea of guilty. Fine, \$50.** (F. & D. no. 29484. Sample no. 24249.)

This action was based on a shipment of apples which were found to contain arsenic and lead in amounts which might have rendered them injurious to health.

On April 18, 1933, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Washington, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against the Pacific Fruit & Produce Co., a corporation, Wenatchee, Wash., alleging shipment by said company in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about October 31, 1931, from the State of Washington into the State of Texas, of a quantity of apples which were adulterated. The article was labeled in part: (Boxes) "Snoboy Brand Wenatchee Apples \* \* \* Distributed by Pacific Fruit & Produce Company, Home Office, Seattle, Wash."

It was alleged in the information that the article was adulterated in that it contained added poisonous and deleterious ingredients, to wit, arsenic and lead, which might have rendered it injurious to health.

On September 5, 1933, a plea of guilty to the information was entered on behalf of the defendant company, and the court imposed a fine of \$50.

M. L. WILSON, *Acting Secretary of Agriculture.*

**21388. Misbranding of grapes. U. S. v. Milton P. Olson (O. G. Olson Co.). Plea of nolo contendere. Fine, \$10.** (F. & D. no. 30139. I.S. no. 39214.)

This case was based on an interstate shipment of grapes which were found to be short weight.

On May 16, 1933, the United States attorney for the Northern District of California, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against Milton P. Olson, a member of a copartnership trading as O. G. Olson Co., Turlock, Calif., alleging shipment by said defendant in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended, on or about October 18, 1931, from the State of California to Chicago, Ill., of a quantity of Carignane grapes which were misbranded. The shipment was diverted from Chicago, Ill., to Uniontown, Pa. The article was labeled in part: (Lugs) "Bungalow Brand Products Nt. Wt. 24 lbs. O. G. Olson Co. Growers and Shippers \* \* \* Turlock, Calif."

It was alleged in the information that the article was misbranded in that the statement "Nt. Wt. 24 lbs." was false and misleading, and for the reason that the article was labeled so as to deceive and mislead the purchaser, since the lugs contained less than 24 pounds. Misbranding was alleged for the